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## Introduction

The Benko is one of the most exciting responses to I d4. As early as move three Black spurns defence in favour of counterattack. A tense middlegame is in prospect which often turns on its head conventional chess thinking about the value of a material advantage.

This book is also going to be something of a roller coaster ride. Reading about the heroes of the Benko will send you away with a warm glow thinking that you have discovered an opening that wins every time against I d4. After that the Strategy chapter will bring you back down to earth as you'll see that White actually has his chances too. Then in 'What's Hot' we'll examine the most promising recent lines for both White and Black. The Tricks and Traps chapter in essence shows how not to play the Benko as Black, but the Test Positions section, with its emphasis on Black's dynamism, gives you the chance to redress the balance. Finally the Details chapter gives an objective summary of the current state of Benko theory.

At times you'll be thinking that 3 ...b5 just blunders a pawn, at other moments that White has been crazy to weaken himself with 3 d 5 . But that is the wonderful thing about the Benko: the player who shows the greatest strategical understanding and tactical imagination can make his opponent look very silly indeed, whether he is White or Black. I hope this book succeeds in revealing the inner workings of this wonderful opening.

## Neil McDonald

Gravesend
May 2004

## First Moves

Welcome to the wonderful world of the Benko Gambit.
We'll begin with a quick run through of the opening moves so that everything is nice and clear.

I d4
White uses his first move to stake a claim for the c5 and e5 squares.

## 1...2f6

In reply Black develops and gains control of e4.
2 c 4
Unable to play 2 e4, White settles for the second best way to build a pawn centre and takes hold of the d5 square. If 2 df3 we would have reacted in the same way with $2 . . . c 53 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{~b} 5$. More will be said of this variation at the end of the next chapter.
2...c5


The first direct confrontation after an initially peaceful carve up of centre territory. This pawn stab amounts to a challenge to White's hold on d4, as well as c5 and e5 - three dark squares in the centre.

3 d5
If instead 3 dxc5? e6 Black regains the pawn with \&xc5 when he has a lead in development. A fairly common alternative is 3 Qf3, avoiding the Benko, when 3 ...cxd4 4 Qxd4 gives us a line of the English.


With 3 d5 White concedes a loosening of his dark squares, but on the other hand he now has a space advantage and intends to build upon it by setting up a formidable light square pawn chain in the centre. Everything else being equal, an advantage in terrain confers greater mobility on a player's pieces and therefore gives him more chances to find or carry out a strong plan.

Now 3...e5 is playable, the so-called Czech Benoni; but after
 wants more excitement than this from his chess.

If you want to be hyper-critical of White's opening moves you could say that he has systematically weakened his control of the
diagonal al-h8. The squares b2 and c3 have no more chance of pawn cover after the three consecutive blunders I d4?, 2 c 4 ? and $3 \mathrm{~d} 5 ?$ ? The last of these moves is of course doubly criminal as it leaves the pawn out on a limb on d 5 and was the last chance to avoid the Benko with 3 Qf3!

While this is of course a (slight) exaggeration, it explains why many players as Black would be itching to get their king's bishop onto g7. It is a dream diagonal, all the more so as the alternative route out via e7 is hampered by Black's own pawn on c5.

However, the immediate 3...g6 is just too passive as White is allowed to build up unimposed in the centre after 4 Q c $3 \mathrm{\& g} 7$ 5 e4. So Black has tried 3...e6 4 ©c3 exd5 5 cxd5 d6 6 e4 g6



This is the Modern Benoni. White still has his space advantage in the centre, but Black can put him under pressure in various ways, such as $\underline{\underline{E}}_{\mathrm{e}} 8$ and $\hat{Q}_{\mathrm{g}} 4$. Black will try to stabilise the centre and then build up counterplay on the queenside based on preparing the b7-b5 pawn advance with moves such as घb8, a7-a6 and even wra5. This pawn advance would be awkward for White and so he does his best to restrain it by playing a2-a4 and keeping a couple of pieces with their sights aimed at the b5 square.

As White is so keen to prevent b7-b5, what happens if Black plays 3...b5 straightaway?

If you had asked a player of the 1920s what he thought about 3...b5 he would have replied simply 'it loses a pawn'. If you had asked David Bronstein in the 1950s he would have muttered something about the Volga Gambit and a bad endgame. Today, however, the reply would probably be 'you mean the Benko Gambit? A very interesting opening'.

Yes, we have arrived at the subject of our book: the Benko Gambit. With 3...b5 Black gets in his favourite pawn move before it can be restrained by 0 c3.

## 3...b5! 4 cxb5



White is by no means obliged to accept the pawn, though of his alternatives $4 . \mathrm{f} 3$ is the only move that sets any real problems.

## 4... 66

The point. Black clears the deck of pawns on the queenside to open lines for his pieces.


## 5 bxa6

A critical moment. Whereas accepting the first pawn at move four is more or less de rigueur for White, he has been rather fussy in his choice of moves at this point. His alternatives range from the ultra solid 5 b 6 , just handing back the pawn, to the rather crazy 5 Dc3 axb5 6 e4 b4 7 Qb55. But here we'll follow the mainline as it reveals in clear style what Black is trying to achieve with his pawn sacrifice.


5．．．g6！
A second pawn sacrifice？No，the pawn on a6 is as doomed as a mouse in a snake＇s cage．The point of the immediate 5 ．．．g6 is that Black wants to stop White putting his bishop on b2 by retaining for a move the option of $\sum x a 6$ ．This reasoning will be explained in detail in the Strategy chapter．

## 6 Dc3

White almost always puts his knight on c 3 in the Benko Mainline．It helps shield the b2 pawn，supports e2－e4 and guards the d5 pawn．

## 6．．．今xa6

It was imperative to recapture now as dallying with 6．．．今g7 allows 7 e4 $8 \times 68$ 昷xa6．White gets to exchange bishops on his own terms without losing the right to castle．As well as this， Black would have to contend with ideas of 9 e 5 ．

7 e4
A very big decision as it waives the right to castle．Instead White often fianchettos his bishop on g 2 with 7 Qf3 金 78 g 3 followed by $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{g} 2}$ and 0－0．

Almost always 7 Øf3 d6 8 e4 amounts to the same thing，but the immediate 7 e 4 is slightly more popular．


7．．．exfl

Of course Black takes the chance to exchange bishops and stop White castling．Or is it so obvious？Looking at some early games in the Benko，I see that Black sometimes declined to play $\mathbf{R x f}^{\mathbf{x}}$ when given the chance，evidently believing that after 7．．．\＆g7 9 \＆$\times$ a6 $2 \times a 6$ the fact that he had got his knight out quickly was more important than stopping White castling．Such a view seems faintly preposterous，as the inconvenience caused by preventing White from castling is，in the long term，of far greater significance than getting the knight to a6－where it may not even prove well placed compared to $d 7$ ．I guess that when the Benko was first being tested，Black was anxious to play in normal gambit style，which entails rapid development：hence the rush to put the knight on a6．It was only when the opening had become more sophisticated that it was realised Black didn＇t have to attack straightaway．Remember that the compensation for the pawn is positional，not tactical．

## $8 \$ x$ I



8．．．d6
The move d7－d6 is good in principle，and is beyond criticism in this specific variation，as it stops e4－e5 or d5－d6 ideas and solidifies Black＇s centre．But in other situations it can be a serious loss of time，as well as an unnecessary weakening，if

Black plans to counterattack in the centre with e7－e6．
To misquote Pillsbury＇s comment on castling，Black should play d7－d6 because he wants to or because he has to，not just because he can．

9 Q 10

A sound developing move before deciding what to do with the white king．

9．．．全g7

At last the black bishop gets to its dream square．


## $10 \mathrm{~g}^{3}$

Another way to remove the king from the centre is via gl and h2： 10 h3 0－0 II \＄g1 ©bd7 $12 \$ h 2$ ．

It takes one move longer to get the king to h2 but he looks significantly more secure there than on $\mathbf{g 2}$ ．Against this strategy Black should aim for pressure on the queenside，as counterattacking in the centre with e7－e6 would be much less effective with no white king to target on g2．As a plus for Black， a white breakthrough in the centre with e4－e 5 would be less threatening with the king on h2 due to possible tricks down the b8－h2 diagonal．

10．．．ゆbd7

Black attends to his development．The experience of many decades has revealed that it is better to have the knight here than on a6．On d7 it not only dissuades an e4－e5 advance but is ready to go to b6 to take part in active opearations on the queenside．
$11 \$ \mathrm{~g} 2$
The white king clears the way for the rook on hl to come to the centre．

11．．．0－0
．．．and the black king gets out of the way of the rook on h8．One of the good features of the Benko Gambit is that the black king is not often a direct target as he is well shlelded by the fianchetto bishop．

## 12 ㅍel

The best square for the rook where it defends e4 and backs up a possible e4－e5 advance in the future．


12．．．暟a5

A key moment: a major alternative was to put the queen on a8 (after a preparatory ${ }^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{a}$ ) and then try to bash through in the centre with e7-e6.

## 13 h3

White often plays this move as a matter of course to prevent ideas of Qg4 and Qge5 'freeing' Black's game. But to be honest such a knight manoeuvre doesn't look very appetising for Black anyway.

## 13...झfb8

Black completes his development. The king's rook is drawn like a magnet to b8. Rooks normally only achieve such active posts as a 8 and b 8 in the latter stages of the middlegame: the opening and early middlegame usually feature a struggle between the minor pieces, with only a small contribution from the rooks.

## 14 Ine2 $^{2}$



In contrast to the aggressive black rooks, one white rook is still sleeping on a while the other is needed to bolster the defences along the second rank.
14... Qe8!

A defensive, manoeuvring and attacking move rolled into one.

Defensive: the retreat unleashes the bishop which helps to deter e4-e5. Manoeuvring: the knight heads off to b 5 via c 7 to join the queenside attack. Aggressive: the threat is $15 \ldots$...xc3.

## 15 \#c2

The versatile rook now bolsters the defence of $\mathbf{c 3}$ as well as $\mathbf{b 2}$. White is suffering indignities but he hopes one day he might have the last laugh. His idea is to regroup carefully and patiently until the moment is right to spring out of his defensive role and seize the initiative in the centre or even exploit the passed pawn.

## 15...2b6

From here the knight might go to a4 to challenge the white knight on c3, which is the chief barrier to the attack on b2. Black's pieces are well placed for queenside action, but the white structure is holding firm.


We have traced the course of a typical Benko game for the first 15 moves and familiarised ourselves with some of the basic ideas. This discussion will be continued in greater depth in the chapter on strategy. But first of all we'll consider the history of the Benko and how a true understanding of this ingenious opening has gradually evolved.

## Heroes and Zeros

Studying the games of the pioneers of an opening can be the best way to uncover its secrets．Back in the mid 1970s，when the Benko first became fashionable，players of White were not only unaware of the most sophisticated responses to the gambit but were often just plain confused．Therefore Black was frequently able to demonstrate his plans in a clear and staightforward manner，meeting little resistance．The result was a mass wipe－out which has lent posterity a series of so－called model or text book games．

However，the story in this chapter begins way before the 1970s．
The first hero is Capablanca，the Cuban Grandmaster who was World Champion from 192｜－27．If the definition of genius is to be well ahead of your time，then Capablanca was perhaps the greatest ever in the field of chess．His games anticipate the discoveries of theory by at least thirty years．After all，consider this：he was playing proto－type King＇s Indians at Havana in 1913； he used a Modern Benoni set up to crush Alekhine like a child with Black at New York in 1927；and here is how he had played thirteen years earlier against Nimzowitsch at St．Petersburg．

## A．Nimzowitsch－J．Capablanca，

St Petersburg 1914
Of course the opening moves have nothing whatsoever to do with the theory of the Benko，but the pawn offer Capablanca makes at move eight is perfectly in accordance with its spirit．

[^0]A novel idea＇writes Capablanca＇brought on by the spur of the moment，with the intention of putting White on his own resources and out of the normal forms of this defence with which Nimzowitsch was very familiar＇．

A remarkably casual way to decide on a positional sacrifice which wouldn＇t be understood by the chess world at large for 50 years！

## 9 2xe6 bxc6 10 断a6

The clever tactician Nimzowitsch has seen a way to win a pawn and create a passed pawn！In 1914 this would have seemed an excellent idea．

## 

Having grabbed her booty the white queen runs back to the centre．

## 14．．．Efe8 15 菌d3 曹e6 1613



An experienced modern player would look at the pawn structure and recognise the features of the Mainline Benko Gambit：the two open files on the queenside for Black＇s rooks， the compact black pawn centre and the long range bishop on g7．He or she would probably also conclude that this is a good
version of the Benko for Black：in particular the pawn on c6 is guarding the d 5 square－a luxury Black is without in the normal Benko structure．The modern player may not have actually seen the games of Pal Benko and Lev Alburt and other great Benko players of the 1970s，but modern theory has been built upon their legacy－that is why it is possible to assert that Black has at least fully adequate compensation for the pawn in the diagram above．

But what could Nimzowitsch have known of this back in 1914， in a chess world that was only one generation away from the age of the King＇s Gambit？According to the values of the age he was asound pawn up，with no weaknesses in his position－in other words he was winning．One can just imagine Nimzowitsch＇s total confusion and despair as the win appeared to slip through his fingers－and not just the win，but the draw as well！The game continued：

16．．．）d7！

The knight begins a manoeuvre to c4 to attack White＇s queenside pawns．

## 17 道d2 ©e5 18 厝e2 ©c4 19 玉abl シa8



Now the black rooks take up their correct stations．

Capablanca plays the position like a strong modern Grandmaster －there is nothing old fashioned about his play．In contrast， Nimzowitsch has no idea how to coordinate his pieces in this exotic position，mainly because he is playing under the assumption that he has a clear advantage．Here for example he might have tried 20 b3，as recommended by Capablanca，though
 intending we5 to drive away the knight，he would sooner or later lose the extra pawn and have to defend for a draw．

## 

Here it was imperative to jettison the a－pawn with 22 e2，as now the far more important b2 pawn becomes indefensible．

## 



Keeping up the positional pressure is far stronger than cashing in with $25 . .$. ©xc3？．Once the b2 pawn drops the white knight will find itself with no safe square，to say nothing of the c 2 pawn．
Therefore Nimzowitsch elects to give up the exchange，but the rest is a massacre．



##  

 White resigned.Capablanca himself makes a very interesting comment at move 13.
'Nimzowitsch, it is true, does not make the best moves now, but I believe he has been unjustly criticised for losing this game, although none of the critics have given a satisfactory line of procedure. They have all suggested moves here and there; but the games of the great masters are not played by single moves, but must be played by concerted plans of attack and defence, and these they have not given.'
[My Chess Career, Dover 1966]
As will be seen in the examples in this book, one of the joys of the Benko Gambit for Black is that it presents him with a clear strategical plan - his pieces find active squares one after another, including the queen's bishop which is often the bane of Black's life in I d4 openings. In contrast, White often faces difficulties in grasping what to do, just as Nimzowitsch did in the game above. Therefore I believe that the Benko Mainline is easier to play on the black side - the theoretical assessment doesn't agree with this, as a chess machine like Kramnik will play flawlessly and win as White, but for the rest of us chess is a battle, and the easier it is to know what we are supposed to be doing, the better.

In some ways the game above is a neglected, misunderstood masterpiece. I have a book by Max Euwe, World Champion 1935-7 in which he claims that Capa simply blundered the pawn. Furthermore, the game doesn't appear in Golombek's book Capablanca's 100 Best Games. Nor does Chernev mention it in his writings. It seems that none of Capa's biographers dared to give this game in their books as they didn't understand it.

The concept of sacrificing the b-pawn to achieve a big centre was known at the time. For example at Bad Pistyan in 1922 Alekhine beat Tarrasch with Black with the Blumenfeld Gambit:

## 1 d4 9 ff 2 c4 e6 3 Qr3 c5 4 d5 b5!? 5 dxe6 fxe6 6 cxb5  


and the black pawns advanced all the way to d 3 and e4.
What was special about the Capablanca game was that Black was playing positionally on the queenside, not trying for a pawn advance in the centre after deflecting the white pawn from $\mathbf{c} 4$. That is the true ethos of the Benko Gambit, at least in its Mainline form - smooth, efficient development with a view to queenside pressure whilst keeping the status quo in the centre. The Benko Gambit first appeared in a familiar form In a couple of games in the 1930s, but didn't make much impression on the chess world at large. Thereafter the little known Russian chess player Argunov analysed various gambit lines in the magazine Chess in the USSR in 1946 including I d4 ©f6 $2 \mathrm{c4} \mathrm{c5} 3 \mathrm{d5}$ b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 e6.

Argunov lived in Kuibyshev on the banks of the river Volga, and for this reason the gambit has been known until the present day in Russia and Russian speaking lands as the Volga Gambit. This is
a curiosity, and was perhaps rather vexing for Mr. Argunov. The only other opening I believe that is named after water is the Saragossa Opening - I c3.

To be honest I don't know anything about Argunov and haven't seen his articles, so I don't know whether he is a hero or not.

The next certain hero is David Bronstein, for playing the Benko Gambit at the Candidates tournament in 1953, and then writing notes to the game in a brilliant book. This was a mammoth 28 round event and Bronstein played the Benko in the first round, explaining: 'I did not want to begin this tournament with the sort of protracted defence Black is usually forced to put up with in one of the 'normal' lines.' Alas, this was the only time he played it in the tournament, though he succeeded in winning in good style.
M.Taimanov White
D.Bronstein Black

Candidates Tournament Zurich 1953
The opening moves were:
I d4 Øf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 g6 4 Qc3 d6 5 e4 b5 6 cxb5 皿g7 7 ©ß $0-08$ \&e2 a6 9 bxa6 \&xa6 $100-0$ wity || تel Qbbd7 12 \&xa6




Here Bronstein played 20... 临a6! and makes the following comment in his famous book on the Zurich 1953 Candidates Tournament [English translation, Dover 1979]
'Black's endgame advantage is founded on the fact that his base pawn at e7 is close to home and therefore easy to defend, while the white pawns at c3 and e4 afford excellent targets to Black's rooks. If the e-pawn advances, then the pawn on d 5 becomes weak'.
 when 22 e5 gives White active play. The game continued...

## 

This can only ever be a good idea if the queens are still on the board. Therefore White should have kept it in reserve and played a move such as 23 we3. Black would have a slight advantage after say $23 . . . Q \mathrm{f} 6$ but the permanent threat of dynamic play from White would mean that his loose pawn structure is of far less consequence.

## 



Exactly. A recurring theme in Benko endgames is that the black king can contribute at once to the defence of e7, while the
white king is much further away from his centre pawns and therefore less able to support them. Naturally if there were still queens on the board this would be of much less consequence, as a move like $\$ \mathrm{\$} 8 \mathrm{f}$ would risk coming under a mating attack.

## 26 2b3?

White is still eager to attack, apparently believing that he has the initiative. He should have settled for the quiet centralising move 26 dfl.

## 26...c4 27 Qc5 EaI + 28 すh2 Df6!

Stopping the threat of $29 \bowtie d 7+$. Now the c3 and d5 pawns are terribly weak and to add to White's problems he is in effect a 'king down'.

## 29 2e4

White can only resort to tricks to hold onto his pawns 29...EeI? 30 Dx6 with a fork on $d 7$ would do very nicely. But after Black's reply the white rook is ejected into outer darkness on g5.

## 



Bronstein is remorseless in wearing down the white position. As well as the pawns on c 3 and d 5 he plans to target $\mathfrak{f} 2$.

31 घg4 f5 32 \#ff
The rook has made it back into the game but meanwhile Black's pressure has become intolerable.

## 32...ゆb6 33 ©g5 ©xd5

Finally one of the scattered pawns drops and if $34 \Xi_{\times x 4} \Xi_{\times 2}$. Bronstein duly wrapped things up:

34 ¥d4 Db6 35 \#d8 + \$g7 36 f4 h6 37 Qe6 +


41...تxg2+42\$h1

Of course if $42 \pm \times g 2$ e $3+$ wins.


Nevertheless, Bronstein is a somewhat flawed hero. Despite winning in the endgame, he was far too pessimistic about Black's chances in this phase of the game due to the missing pawn: 'should White gradually succeed in overcoming all his difficulties, and reach an endgame, he will have excellent winning chances'.

It was this view which had to be overturned if the Benko was ever to become popular. It was the task of a third hero, Pal Benko, to show that most Benko endgames are not just acceptable for Black, but even favourable.
H.Camara White
P.Benko Black

Sao Paulo 1973


Rather than fearing the endgame a pawn down, Benko positively invited it with

## 

A miserable retreat that shows White has become obsessed with the extra pawn and forgotten all about the need for development or piece coordination. He had to go in for heavy


## 20... 曹xe2 21 \#xe2 \&f8! 22 ©d1?

And now the knight joins the bishop on the back rank. It can be said that the knight on c3 performs a vital role in the Benko, as it holds together the white centre and shields b2 from attack. Black often goes to a lot of trouble to remove it, so it should never be retreated in such a gratuitous manner. Evidently the
idea was 23 e3, but White has overlooked the deadly reply which has since become a common counter attacking theme in the Benko:

22....55!

Black bashes up the white centre and wins the d5 pawn. Note that the shrewd 21 ... $\begin{aligned} & \text { ff } \\ & 8 \\ & \text { defended the } \\ & 7\end{aligned}$ this possible. Here again we see that once queens are exchanged the black king being so close to e7 is a great help to Black. In contrast the e4 pawn cannot be defended by the white king.

The rest is like a film of a massacre, in slow motion at first and then gradually speeding up:

##  dxe5 28 Øf2 te7 29 a3 气e3

and the black pawns steamrollered forwards.
These were balmy days for the Benko - and for Mr Benko himself!

Pal Benko (1928-) not only proved the worth of the Benko in practical games but also campaigned for it in the form of
magazine articles and eventually a book, The Benko Gambit, published in 1973. The names of chess openings tend to be chosen almost at random, but Benko deserves to be remembered for his efforts. He also of course has the Benko Opening I g3 named after him - which he used to beat Fischer and Tal at Curacao back in 1962. No more need be said!

The next hero isn't a person but a place in Macedonia called Skopje. The Olympiad was held there in 1972 and the Benko enthusiasts were waiting with their knives sharpened. In 16 games with the gambit Black scored the amazing total of 10 wins and six draws. This would be an outrageous result for an opening as White - but with Black it is almost unbelievable. Looking through the games, only once was Black clearly busted, and even then he managed to win with a swindle.

## A.Berrios Pagan White

## I.Onat Black

Skopje 1973


Here is one example of the Skopje massacre. It shows that White was oblivious to the danger along the al-h8 diagonal as he played the natural developing move...

16 \&d2?

He was knocked back by

## 

With his temporary piece sacrifice, Black has splintered the white centre and conquered the b2 square with his rook. Furthermore, the bishop on g 7 has been unleashed and the rook on a! is a target. Black exploited the lack of coordination in White with some strong tactical blows:

## 



Now White has to give back a piece, after which he is a pawn down and paralysed by threats to g 2 .

## 

It looks like White might be getting some counterplay, but...

## 23...Ee2! 24 类 $68+$ 気 25 \#g1

A sad necessity as Black gets in his mate first if he takes the rook on a8. Now three more white pawns drop one after the after:


## 

Don＇t get too excited：26．．．巴axa2？？ 27 齿xe8 mate．



The Benko had arrived with a bang．It must have appeared in 1973 that a brave new world had began with the peerless fighter Bobby Fischer as World Champion and the Benko at the forefront of a new dynamic chess style．Alas，in reality it was a post－Fischer age and the Benko would never have such a brilliant result again．While Fischer＇s retirement was a tragedy for all chess lovers，it is no bad thing that the Benko doesn＇t actually refute I d4．

The next hero is Lev Alburt．He was born in Odessa in 1945， emlgrated to the USA in 1979 and played top board at the Olympiad of 1980．He has been very faithful to his chosen openings，playing the Alekhine versus I e4 and the Benko versus I d4 through fair weather and foul．Here is one of his brightest ever episodes．Indeed I believe it is the greatest game ever played in the Benko．It illustrates perfectly the dynamism that the black pieces can achieve when the queenside landscape is stripped of pawns．

## V．Hort White <br> L．Alburt Black <br> Decin 1977

I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 ©c3 ifxa6




A courageous move．It isn＇t often that Black plans to snatch the d5 pawn from under the nose of the bishop on g2．

14 b3
Hort can＇t quite believe that Alburt really intends to take on d5 as it would leave the black queen and the rook on a8 very awkwardly placed．However，as Steinitz once remarked ＇a centre pawn ahead is worth a little trouble．＇

## 14．．．台fxd5！

A very useful pawn to grab，but what about the pin on the black queen？Well，there isn＇t going to be any pin！

## 

No doubt expecting 16．．．e6 when 17 Qe3 Qxe3 18 亚xe3 d5 19 ＠xc5 would be very nice for White，but there is another surprise awaiting Hort．


16．．． 5 c 3 ！！

An excellent positional sacrifice of the queen．

## 

And not the feeble $17 \ldots$ ．． $2 x d$ when 18 \＆xa8 2 c 319 \＆e4 Qxe4 20 宜b2 would be a＇clean＇way for White to be the exchange up in the endgame．

## 18 軍d3

No matter how White plays the knight fork will ensure that Black picks up a rook as well as a bishop for the queen．

## 

In return for the queen，Black has a rook and a bishop．This isn＇t quite enough materially speaking，but he has enormous positional plusses：an active knight and strong bishop pair，and targets for his rooks on a3 and b3．It is also very important than he has a very solid pawn chain to keep out the white queen．

There is only one word of warning necessary，which applies in many Benko situations．It is all very well for Black to chop up
the white queenside，but he must remember that committing so many pieces to the far side of the board leaves his king vulnerable to a sudden attack．Here for example if the white knight were on $\mathrm{f3}$ rather than fI ，Black would have to resign immediately after I $9 \mathbf{g} 5$ ．Of course this is no more than a fairy tale，but should Black play with insufficient energy then White can build up an attack with $\triangleq \mathrm{d} 2, \sum \mathrm{D} 3$ ，将h4，今h6 and $\triangleq \mathrm{g} 5$ ．

However，there isn＇t going to be any passive defence from Black．Alburt has correctly judged the time factor and concluded that White will be unable to bring enough force to bear on his kingside before he has broken through on the queenside．This means that White＇s pieces will be tied down to defensive duty and therefore unable to coordinate their action In an attack．



The black pieces are now all beautifully coordinated whereas the white pieces，apart from the queen，are all tied to the defence of pawns：the rook must defend e2，the bishop a3 and the knight b3．

## 23 b4

As both the a and b pawn are ultimately doomed．Hort decides to make the best deal possible by exchanging them for the c5
pawn. Strategically speaking this is a good idea as it prevents Black acquiring a passed pawn on $\mathrm{c5}$, but unfortunately the pressure from the black pieces will be quite suffocating.

## 

Now the poor white queen is driven all the way back to fl .

## 

The white king moves out of the way to allow the knight to retreat to gl to help defend e 2 .

## 

The black rooks have migrated across the board from a8 and b8 to al and bl.

## 30 कh3

A quite ridiculous position in which the white king is the only piece that can be moved without dropping material.



No, there is nothing wrong with the diagram. There really is a white king on f 3 and the knight on g 1 and not vice versa. Alburt at last decides to put his opponent out of his misery.

## 

It is no wonder that players sat up and took notice of the Benko after crushing wins of this type.

Finally, the US Grandmaster John Fedorowicz deserves a special mention not just for his dynamic play as Black but also because he wrote a great book on the opening back in 1990 called The Complete Benko Gambit.
J.Ehlvest White
J.Fedorowicz Black

New York 1989

19... W'b3!

Black is angling for a queen exchange. In spite of the extra pawn these endgames are often unpleasant for White. Thinking in terms of defence, Fedorowicz wants to kill off any chance White has of launching a mating attack against the black king so that he can concentrate on his queenside action; more aggressively
speaking，he desires to be rid of the white queen as she is playing a pivotal role in holding together the white queenside．

Incidentally，this extract also shows why White should never be In a hurry to nudge the pawn to a3－the b3 square is likely to become a focal point for Black＇s attack．

## 20 ㅍal 誛c4！

White dreams of a kingside attack not an endgame in which he is permanently tied down to the weak pawn on b2．Therefore he retreats his queen but that leaves the enemy queen unchallenged on c4．

## 21 㟶c2 Ebs 22 hxg6 hxg6 23 f4

Ehlvest feels obliged to dislodge the black knight as the first step in clearing the way for a kingside attack．Of course this is very loosening，but he couldn＇t just wait as Black builds up with घ̈fb etc．



White only has time for one attacking gesture on the kingside before the roof caves in on the queenside．

27．．．تモx ${ }^{\text {En }}$

The patent Benko breakthrough．

## 

A more spectacular way to lose was 29 Qe4 $\triangleq x e 430$ ixe4
 ig7 when the black bishop gets to mate the white king on its favourite square．


29．．．EB3！

As well as grand attacking gestures，Black does well to simply remove his opponent＇s pieces from the board．Now the white knight drops off and after some neat defensive moves the passed c pawn will win the day．The rest is all skin and bones．



At the time of writing the two greatest Benko devotees amongst the world elite are Alexander Khalifman of Russia and Veselin Topalov of Bulgaria．Some years ago Michael Adams and the young Peter Leko were keen Benkoists and their games are well worth studying．

You might be wondering by now, 'Okay, we've met the heroes, but where are the zeros?' Weli, as a matter of fact you hove already met them. The point is you can't be a hero in the Benko Gambit unless you are also prepared to be a zero. This might sound paradoxical, but the occasional quick disaster is the sign of a strongly creative player. If you are continually trying out new ideas or exploring unfamiliar territory then it is inevitable that you are going to fall into a hole sooner or later. But overall the rewards of enterprising play are enormous and far outshine those of plodding, dull play. The only 'real' zero is a player who loses heart after a bad reverse: the heroes of the Benko have suffered some horrifying defeats, but have always bounced back to score beautiful wins.

## Strategy in the Benko

What keeps chess alive and fresh after centuries of analysis is that it is resistant to all attempts to find a formula to play it perfectly. In fact, it refuses even to be bound by hard and fast strategical laws - there are endless exceptions and paradoxes in chess. Thus any idea can be good or bad according to the circumstances of a specific game.

That is why we need to calculate variations to support our ideas and also appraise the strategical features of the unique position in front of us. It isn't enough to know what happened in similar positions in earlier games.

Having said that, it is essential to know the plans and schemes that have been adopted by strong players in identical or similar positions in the past - this knowledge points us to the ideas that have the capacity to be good. Without this prior information, we would be as helpless as Nimzowitsch when he was the first player to face the Benko set up back in 1914.

In this chapter we look at ideas for White and Black which have the capacity to be good. Keep them at the back of your mind and bring them out to examine when you plan your strategy but be highly critical and remember that they might be downright blunders. Things never work in real games as well as they do in books!

## The Benko Mainline - basic strategical ideas

Earlier in the book we discussed the starting moves of a typical Benko game - you may wish to refresh your memory by rereading pages 7 to 17 .

Here we shall renew the discussion on strategy by examining these moves in greater detail.

## I d4 Df6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6!



## A finesse in move order

White would very much like to put his bishop on b2 where it can oppose the black bishop on g7. He achieves this aim after
 Qbd7 II 0-0 when it is very hard for Black to generate counterplay as his pressure along the al-h8 diagonal has been more or less neutralised.

By delaying the capture on a6 Black gives himself the opportunity to oppose this plan with ©xa6! and then \&b7, when severe pressure can be exerted on the white centre with Qb4 and e7-e6. Let's see what might happen if White nonetheless sticks to the plan of b2-b3 and \&b2:
 De2 e6! Whilst White has been arranging \&b2 Black has methodically built up pressure on d 5 . Now White will be left with a weak, ultimately indefensible pawn on d 5 unless he plays 12 dxe6 but then $12 \ldots$..fxe6 13 ゆbc3 $\triangleq x{ }^{2} 4$ gets the pawn back with advantage whilst 13 ©d2 $\triangleq b 4$ intending 14... $\mathrm{D}^{2} 3$ would be very active for Black.

## 6 2c3 \&xa6



What has Black gained for his pawn?

## A great rook on a8

In virtually every opening the rook on a8 has to wait a long, long time before it plays any active role in the game; this isn't surprising as its route along the first rank to the centre is obstructed by a knight, bishop and queen, and even if it reaches c8 or d8 it might still be hemmed in by a pawn. If Black suffers a quick defeat - or victory! - without completing his development, it is usually the poor rook on a8 that misses out on the show.

In contrast, in the Benko the rook on 98 has in effect been developed without even moving: an open file has been presented to it free of charge, with no dubious small print and no strings attached.

## A great bishop on a6

Black＇s light squared bishop is often maligned in queen pawn openings－indeed Tarrasch referred to it as his＇problem child＇． His grudge was based mainly on the fact that it is shut in and passive after I d4 d5 $2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{e6}$ ．but even in modern openings such as the King＇s Indian Defence it can be hard find a suitable way to develop the bishop on c8．The most notorious example is in the Samisch King＇s Indian：I d4 乌f6 $2 \mathrm{c4g63}$ 乌c3 今g7 4 e4 0－0 $5 \mathrm{f} \mathbf{d 6} 6$ \＆e3．Just how is the bishop on c8 going to find an active role？

In the Benko the bishop is presented with the a6 square and can also use the b7 square as appropriate．In either case it has avoided the clutter along the c8－h3 diagonal so typical of King＇s Indian set ups and has escaped outside the black pawn structure． It becomes a useful and active piece that can only be exchanged at great inconvenience for White．

## The pawn on d5

Black has removed the pillar on c4 which supported the white spearhead on d 5 ．The white pawn on d 5 ，although immensely useful in controlling centre squares－most notably in keeping the black knight out of c 6 －has rushed a long way in front of the other white pieces．Having lost its companion on $\mathbf{c 4}$ it is therefore somewhat isolated and the task of supporting it with e2－e4 can prove to be hazardous for the rest of its army．Thus if White plays the direct 7 e4 then the king will have to forfeit castling kingside after 7．．．ixfl．

In fact once White has played 4 cxb5 there is no way of reinforcing the pawn with e2－e4 which doesn＇t involve a positional concession，either in misplacing a piece，wasting time or returning the extra pawn．This is as true in sidelines such as 4 e3 as it is in the mainline．Furthermore，in many lines the pawn on d 5 can be directly challenged with e7－e6 when in extreme
cases White gets violently overrun in the centre．More likely White would have to concede that Black had achieved an easy development and secured sufficient counterplay．

## White castles by hand

Let＇s jump ahead some more moves so that we can see the play unfold．From the diagram above：

7 e4
White plays his most natural move，even though it involves the serious concession of giving up castling．

## 

White intends to castle by hand－that is play $\$ g 2$ and then bring the rook from $h l$ into the centre．In this way he hopes to safeguard his king and complete his development．
 Ec2


## Black's dynamic piece deployment

The diagram on the presvious page shows you the sort of set up Black is aiming for. He has both rooks on open lines where they are bearing down on the white queenside pawns. In contrast the white rooks have no scope and are only being used to defend pawns. Black's bishop enjoys an open diagonal and is exerting pressure on both the white knight and beyond it the pawn on b2 - and even the rook on al might prove a target in the future. With ©f6-e8! Black has restrained White from breaking up the centre with e4-e5, at least for the forseeable future, as he now has three units guarding e5.

## Black's middlegame strategy

How should Black proceed from the diagram above?
With all his heavy pieces on the queenside, the obvious plan is to try to increase the pressure on $\mathrm{a} 2, \mathrm{~b} 3$ and c 3 . This can be done with the knight manoeuvre De8-c7-b5, challenging the white knight on c 3 . Black is very happy to exchange off White's knight that blocks the way to b2. An alternative is to use the other knight on d 7 to accost the white knight, this time with Qb6 and then $\mathrm{Da4}^{2}$, which has the added effect of attacking b2; or Black might focus exclusively on the b2 point with Qb6 and Qc4.

Black would of course be delighted to eliminate the pawn on a2, but the real prize is the b2 pawn: if that falls then the white queenside crumbles and the bishop on $g 7$ becomes a monster. At best White would have a rotten pawn structure in the endgame, as the protected passed pawn on c5 far outweighs an isolated pawn on a2; at worst he wouldn't even survive until the endgame as the pressure would be too great. There are countless examples in the Benko of Black playing $\Xi_{x b 2}$ ! as a pseudo or sometimes genuine exchange sacrifice to break up the white defences.

## Black's sacrifice on b2



In fact in the Benko Mainline the chance to sacrifice on b2 is more than a mere opportunistic tactic: it is a fundamental facet of Black's strategy.

In the diagram above White has played too passively and allowed Black to carry out his plan. Now after $1 ., . \sum \times b 2!2$是xb2 Exxb2 3 当xb2 \&xc3 all White's pleces apart from the king
 Exel Exa2 leaves Black a pawn up for nothing, so White tries 4


## A winning endgame for Black


 el drops the rook on a8 when we have a queen and pawn endgame．In this specific case it would in fact be very uncomfortable for White，but as a rule in the Benko Black wants a rook and pawn endgame．In a rook and pawn endgame there is enough firepower to attack White＇s loose pawns and also the chance to use the king actively．So Black should play the simple 4．．．＂ta7！offering the exchange of queens rather than rushing to capture on either el．At the same time the pawn on e7 is defended，so White has no choice but to agree to the swap or else he will lose the a2 pawn for nothing．After 5 熏xa7 $\mathbf{\Xi x a 7} 6$ Eecl Exal 7 \＃xal Ea3！the white rook is well and truly tied down to the a2 pawn．White can only wait，for example 8 difl．


Now one thing Black mustn＇t do is start advancing his passed pawn－the fact that it is defended on $\mathrm{C5}$ is a huge asset and it should stay there until all other preparations are complete． Instead Black could set off with his king on a long journey to the queenside，but moving him so far away from the kingside gives White the chance to jettison the 22 pawn at an appropriate moment and counterattack with the rook on the kingside． Besides，White could always play his own king over to b2．

## The power of the f7－f5 attack

There is a far stronger plan for Black：8．．．55！This not only undermines the e4 pawn but clears the way for the white king to attack the white centre．Now 9 exf5 gxf 10 ＠e2（or 10 E el \＄F7！II $\Xi_{e 2} \Xi_{d 3} 12$ a4 and here 12．．．$\Xi_{x d 5}$ will win，but
 Black has two ways to win－ 11 ．．．$\oint f 6$ intending $12 . . . \sum_{e 5}$ is by far the quickest and simplest，but the idea of 11 ．．．e6 should also be noted－Black disposes of the d 5 pawn and creates connected passed pawns．Back at move eight standing firm in the centre wouldn＇t help White，e．g．if 8 f 3 －instead of 8 df1－ 8．．．f5！ 9 f2 ${ }^{6} 77$ and the black king will go to e5 with lethal effect．

## The exchange of queens



We shall once again refer to our thematic game．The position after 15 \＃ I 2 was reached in the game A．Beliavsky－ A．Khalifman，Linares 1995，which continued

## 15．．．©b6 16 愛e2 䊦a6！

It is hard to think of any other gambit opening in which a player gives up a pawn and is then keen to exchange queens and reach
an endgame. The only example that springs to mind is the Marshall Counter Attack in the Ruy Lopez - but in that case Black normally tries for a direct attack on White's king, and only opts for an endgame that is incredibly hard for White to win if his attack is stymied. In contrast, in the Benko Mainline Black is looking for a queen exchange almost from the start - and not just to secure equal chances, but to play for a win.

However, although Black is pleased to exchange queens there are some pieces he would be loathe to exchange. Basically he wants to keep the strong bishop on g7 to terrorise b2 and the two rooks in order to apply pressure down the two half open files. It shouldn't be forgotten that in almost any endgame he needs to have at least one rook in order to maintain the initiative. In short, Black wants to exchange queens and knights and keep the other pieces in order to attack b2.


## 17 QgI?

The idea behind this move is to bolster the knight on c3, but it leads to the undesirable exchange of both knights. Instead after Khalifman's suggestion in Informator 63 of 17 Wive $\mathbf{\Xi}_{\text {xa6 }} 18$ Wd2 f5! 19 f3 ©a4 Black has plenty of initiative for the pawn but White still has the knight on d 2 to play with - and perhaps should keep the other knight as well with 20 QdI!?.

## 17... Qa4! 18 霛xa6

Of course White's last move would be pointless if he doesn't exchange queens to clear the $e 2$ square for the knight.

## 18...\#xa6 19 包ge2 0.07!

The other black knight heads for b5 to arrange a double exchange on c3. White is going to be stripped of every piece that can't be tied down to the defence of b2.

20 a3 15!


Again this pawn break proves a vital facet of Black's strategy. White must now accept a weak backward pawn on e4 as after 21 exf5 gxf5 the d5 pawn will soon become indefensible. Since the queens have been exchanged it is easy for the black king to defend the e7 pawn with $\$ f 7$. On the other hand, the white king can hardly help the pawn on e4 which now becomes the base of White's pawn chain in the centre. Does this all sound familiar? Check out the David Bronstein and Pal Benko games in the heroes section and you will soon see why.



The multiple exchanges have left Black with exactly the material balance he wanted: two rooks and a bishop each. Now
 be equal - and who am I to argue with the Benko maestro and former FIDE World Champion? Nevertheless, it is no surprise that Beliavsky rejected this path as there are few players who have nerves strong enough to endure the torments of abject passivity. In the game he tried to activate his pieces but it led to a queenside collapse.

## 



In the Benko White's passed a-pawn should never be underestimated - it may seem like a victim on a2 but like all
passed pawns it tends to gain momentum as it rolls up the board. Many a player has become obsessed as Black with nabbing a pawn on e4 or b2 and then found to his surprise that he can't get his rook back in time to stop the 'harmless' a-pawn from queening.

However in this instance Khalifman has everything under control and can pick off the a-pawn:

## 28...\&xcl! 29 Ebxcl Eb2+ 30 Elc2


30... $\mathbf{m b} 5$ !

An instructive sequence of moves. If $30 \ldots \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{x c} \mathbf{2}+\boldsymbol{?} 3 \mid \Xi_{x c} \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{a 6}$ $32 \mathrm{Ea}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ defends the pawn safely, so Black plans to force the pawn to a7 when $\mathbf{\#} 6$ will get the black rook behind the passed pawn and at the same time prevent $\boldsymbol{m a}_{\mathrm{a}}$.

## 31 a6 ${ }^{2}$ b6 32 e5


 c4! and Black eventually ground out the win in another eighteen moves.

Here is another example of Black exploiting his superior pawn structure in a Benko endgame．

## V．Epishin White <br> K．Georgiev Black

Germany 1997


It is Black＇s move．You might not think there＇s much going on here and that the draw is inevitable．But in fact after

28．．．全d4！

Black has every chance to win due to the weaknesses on c 4 and f2．Already there is the threat of $29 . . \pm$ b2．

## 29 ジb $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ！

Under no circumstances should Black agree to an exchange of rooks with $29 \ldots \pm \times b \mid+$ ？ 30 今 $\times$ I．In almost any Benko endgame he needs the help of a rook to attack the enemy pawns．

30 Rel f5！


A vital strengthening of the attack．Black not only clears the way for his king to enter the game but also puts pressure on e4．This can only be relieved by agreeing to exchange light squared
 $\Xi_{c l} \Xi_{\text {a2 }}$ White can＇t move either his rook or bishop without losing a pawn．He would therefore be reduced to moving his king and waiting for Black to find a winning plan－for example putting the king on 77 and then playing e7－e6．If White responds d5xe6＋he can create a passed pawn on the $c$ file with \＄xe6 and then d 6 － d 5 ．

## 

The black rook bludgeons its way through to c3 after which the c4 pawn becomes indefensible．
$35 \mathrm{h4}$
If 35 exf5 $\boldsymbol{\&} \times f 536$ 食xf5 gxf5 and the $\mathbf{c 4}$ pawn will be lost to Ec3 no matter how White plays．

With the threat of 40 ．．．Exe 4 ！


## Black plays c5-c4

This is one of Black's three main pawn breaks - the others are e7-e6 and 77-f5. It is a double edged idea as, positionally speaking, it gives away the $d 4$ square and removes the strong pawn from c 5 - the best feature of Black's pawn structure. On the other hand, under the right circumstances it can be a devastating blow that rips open both files and diagonals for the black pieces.

## E. Bareev White

G.Kasparov Black

Linares 1994


Here the conditions are excellent for the pawn advance as Black already has his knight on a strong attacking square:

## 19...c4! 20 b4

 exchange before White can consolidate his passed pawns with 23 \&a3-23 bxc4 wive4 with a slight edge for Black according to Kasparov in Informator 60.

White bolsters the knight on c3 as 21 ...exc3 followed by 22... $\triangleq x e 4$ was on the cards. The advance of the c-pawn has cleared the way to apply further pressure on the weak $\mathbf{f} 2$ square with 21...置b6. Alternatively, Black could prepare to break up the white centre with 21 ... ${ }^{\text {W/tbl }}$ b7 intending 22...e6 as suggested by Kasparov. In the game there followed

## 

The World Champion admits his last move was a mistake - a lesson in humility for us all.


## 23 b5?

Still, Black's imprecision has a surprising effect, as Bareev decides to become active. The result is that his carefully constructed fortress on the queenside collapses. After 23 WedI! I'm sure Kasparov would have played one of his suggestions above rather than acquiesce to a draw.

## 

Hereafter Black won in an error strewn melee that suggested severe time pressure. Instead Kasparov gives the variation


White under intense pressure along the $c$ file. That can only be bought off by 30 b6 when 30 ... ${ }^{1} \times \mathrm{xd} 531 \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{~b} 8$ is clearly better for Black.

The crucial lesson is that Kasparov only played 19...c4 because he had specific tactical ideas in mind - namely threats against e4 and f 2 . He wouldn't have just played it on whim because it 'looked right'.

Incidentally, Gary Kasparov has rarely adopted the Benko Gambit as Black, though he has shown a fondness for playing b7-b5 as a pawn sacrifice to open lines in various King's Indian games. A much greater devotee of the Benko is his namesake Sergei Kasparov.

## What Black is not trying to do

It can actually be harmful to transfer ideas from the King's Indian to the Benko. The philosophy is usually very different. Take the middlegame position reached after




As in the Benko, Black has fianchettoed on g7; but here the similarity ends. Black has shut in his bishop with $6 . . . e 5$ - the idea
is to bolster his pawn presence in the centre in order to prepare an eventual $\mathbf{7} 7$ - 55 to undermine $\mathbf{e} 4$ and start a kingside attack.
The emphasis is on positional jockeying: roughly speaking White presses forwards on the queenside, whilst Black tries to get his clump of pawns rolling on the kingside. This often leads to tactical fireworks, but they are usually preceded by a manoeuvring phase in a semi-blocked position.

In the Benko, e7-e5 is almost never part of Black's strategy: on the contrary, he wants to keep the diagonal of his bishop open, as he is looking for counterplay on the queenside, NOT on the kingside. If he does strike a blow against the white king, it will come via the queenside or as a consequence of successfully undermining White's centre, for example with the f7-f5 stroke.

## White plays ig5: a noteworthy trap

The dark squared bishop is often White's problem piece in the Benko Mainline as it isn't easy to find a role for it. He sometimes puts it on $\mathrm{g5}$ but this is something of a half hearted gesture, designed to provoke a weakening of the black kingside with h7-h6 before retreating the bishop again. An important tactical device often available to Black is that he can meet a threat to e7 as follows:


Black can force the bishop to declare its intentions with I...h6!
 Qxg5 Qf6 White has neither serious attacking chances on the kingside nor strong passed pawns, so the extra black piece significantly outweighs the pawns.

We can see from this how clever it was for Black to play 5...g6! in the opening rather than 5 ... $\mathbf{Q} \times a 6$ in order to prevent the white bishop getting to b2, when White has killed two birds with one stone: he has found a useful square for his bishop and he has lessened the power of Black's bishop on g 7 . If White could get his bishop to b2 at a later point he would be delighted, but this is fraught with danger once Black is putting pressure along the al-h8 diagonal with his bishop.

## Winning strategles for White

It is rarely a good idea for White to base his strategy on the advance of the queenside pawns towards the eighth rank. In fact, thls is exactly the naive response that Black is hoping for when he plays the Benko Gambit. It is most likely to end in total disaster for White as the fire from the black pieces is ferocious. Such a strategy could be compared to the Charge of the Light Brigade, with the black rooks on a 8 and b 8 being the Russian cannon.

As an initial measure, a far better aim for White is to establish a firm defensive wall on the queenside which deprives the black pieces of any counterplay there. This may involve advancing the white pawns to a4 and b3, but no further. Once Black has run out of ideas then White can prepare a breakthrough in the centre based on e4-e5.

## J.Hjartarson White

B.Zueger Black

Winterthur 1996


Black was eager to attack but after $\mathbf{2 1}$...c4? we have an Instance of the c5-c4 break failing, as White was able to bypass the pawn with 22 b4! After 22...䒼c8 23 \&cl! ©d7 24 a3 White had consolidated his queenside pawns leaving Black absolutely no counterplay on the queenside. The pawn on 44 is just dead wood. Note that if White's pawn was on f 2 , rather than f 4 , Black would be able to activate his knight with I...4e5 and 2...थd3. But in the actual position White has both c5 and e5 covered which prevents the black knight doing anything. In the game White quickly broke through in the centre after 24... 2 .f6

 quickly. It will be seen that White didn't try to advance his queenside pawns quickly: their best role was as a long-term threat, preventing any counterplay. That enabled White to carry out his plan of attack in the centre without distraction.

## G．Van Laatum White

## L．Trent Black

Hastings 1999


## 19 \＆

White hits on the idea of putting his bishop on b2，when it will restrain Black＇s bishop and also be well positioned to support an e4－e5 advance in the centre．

## 19．．．獘b7 20 Qd2！

An excellent positional move which prevents his opponent from offering the exchange of queens with $20 \ldots$ ．．．tib3．

## 20．．．乌d7 21 b3 霊b5 22 \＆b2 乌e5？

Black misses his chance．After 22．．．气xb2 23 凿×b2 c4！exploiting the pin on the b file and planning De 5 etc ．he would have an active game．

## 23 日e3

Now Black runs out of constructive ideas．

You will recall that the exchange of knights favours Black in the Benko．True enough 27 ©xb6 $\mathbf{E x b 6}$ would give Black plenty of play，while at the same time diminishing the chance of a successful assault on the black king．But White has found a way not only to avoid the exchange but also to put his knight on a monster square．



White＇s ideas now include 30 a5 $5<831$ e5 powering through the centre，but not immediately 30 e 5 ？because of $30 \ldots$ ．．．$\times d 5$ ！
 for Black．In fact White would probably aim to improve his position further before playing the committal $44-\mathrm{a} 5$ and e4－e5， for example with $30 \$ \mathrm{~h} 2$ ，putting the king on a safer square．

29．．．e6？
One of the good things about the Benko for Black is that no matter how awful his position may look，it is often a tough nut to crack．After 29．．．f6，preventing any immediate e4－e5，White would still have to work hard for the point．

The threat is mate on h8．

## White's e4-e5 advance

In the two examples above we see the one drawback to the otherwise excellent Benko Gambit. By avoiding establishing a pawn centre in King's Indian style with e7-e5, Black is leaving himself open to a white thrust with e4-e5.

This pawn advance can be an overwhelming blow that establishes a stranglehold on the centre; or it can be a fatally loosening move that overstretches the white pawn structure. It all depends on the specific position. However, one thing however is obvious: if White achieves e4-e5 in favourable circumstances then he is almost certainly going to have a good position. It could be the prelude to a kingside attack; or perhaps the aim would be to split up the immaculate black pawn structure with e $4 \times \mathrm{dd6}$, when after the recapture e7xd6 the d6 pawn is a target. Furthermore, in that case the opening of the e file should favour White - after all, Black already has the semi open $\mathbf{a}$ and $b$ files for his rooks, so he doesn't need or want a third open file on the board - whereas the white rooks are hungry for an open file.

Black must therefore avoid becoming so engrossed in his queenside strategy that he lets White play the centre advance in a favourable way; or, if the advance cannot be prevented, it should meet with appropriate resistance.

The merit or otherwise of e4-e5 is a recurring theme throughout this book. Black must be particularly careful in his response to a bid to overrun him right in the opening, for example

[^1]

White prepares centre action in unashamedly crude style. Like a modern day Philidor he left his knight on gl for a move to keep the way open for his $f$ pawn and now is ready to power forwards with e4-e5. After 8...0-0?! he gets to carry out his plan

intending 14 \&f4 with a massive bind on the centre. Rather than automatically castling, Black should have looked for counterplay with the active 8... ${ }^{W}$ a5! when 9 \&d2 [not 9 e4 ©xe4] $9 . . .0-0$ 10 e4 d6! refuses to play ball with 10 ... \&xfl 11 Exxfl, bringing
 White to offer the exchange of queens with 12 We2 if he wants to castle - this will no doubt upset the sort of player who wants to attack from the outset, all the more so because Black has a lot of counterplay in the endgame.

## Restraining e4-e5

As Black has renounced ever playing e7-e5, he has to make sure that e4-e5 doesn't work for White. A common method we have already seen is to play ©f6-d7, when the black knight and bishop on $g 7$ both cover e5. Indirect prevention is also possible, for example by applying pressure to the white pawn on d5. Thus if Black sticks his knights on b 6 and f 6 and puts the queen on $\mathbf{8 8}$ or b7 then any e4-e5 thrust is likely to cost the $d 5$ pawn. Direct prevention with the pawn move 7-f6 is usually hideous
as long as Black has a dark squared bishop－though the games of Michael Adams and others have shown it may be a good idea if preceded by a bishop exchange．


An extract from the game Grabliauskas－Khalifman，Vilnius 1997．Khalifman has just captured the knight on c3 with 15．．．\＆xc3 and after White recaptured 16 bxc3 he played 16．．．f6！when 17 显d2 wival 18 wel wiv4 gave Black control over the light squares on the queenside and a grip on the e5 square．It would be extremely problematical for White to achieve the e4－e5 break through．

The conditions have to be exactly right for a strategy involving退c3 to work．Basically Black has to be so strong on the light squares that the loss of dark square control doesn＇t matter．

## Black plays in the centre with e7－e6

Returning once again to the opening moves，a big question is whether the black queen should be leading from the front－on a5 or b6－or be tucked away on a8．


Here is a typical scenario which is reached after the moves I d4 Qf6 $2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c} 53 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{~b} 54 \mathrm{cxb} 5 \mathrm{a} 65 \mathrm{bxa6} \mathrm{~g} 66 \mathrm{C} 3$ 全xa6 7 e4



Black can play for queenside pressure as in the examples we have seen above with 15 ．．． $\mathbf{\# b 8}$ ．For example 16 b3 ©e8 17
 there is a target on b3．On a8 the queen is less vuinerable to attack than on a5，but also less involved in the action－it is a matter of choice．

There is however another completely different plan available for Black．Although the basic idea behind the Benko is to put pressure on the queenside，in this specific variation it is hard to resist the temptation to try to exploit the position of the white king．After all，White has taken liberties by＇fianchettoing＇him on g2．If only Black could open the diagonal a8－hl things might get embarrassing for the white monarch，who would find the pin on 53 very awkward．Black can undertake the double edged plan of cutting through the thickets to $\mathbf{g} 2$ with 15 ．．．e6，launching an assault on d5．


Then after the exchange 16 dxe6 fxe6 Black already has a useful open file for his rook on f8．For this reason it would be silly for Black to play ${ }^{\text {Eb }} \mathrm{b8}$ if he plans e7－e6 as the rook is more useful on its starting square．The next stage of Black＇s plan would involve d6－d5 to remove the second obstacle on e4．However this must be done with care．The position is highly complex and is examined in some detail In the Tricks and Traps chapter．

## White plays a kingside fianchetto

After I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 亿c3 \＄xa6 White is by no means compelled to give up castling with 7 e4 $\mathbf{1} \times \mathrm{xfl}$ ．Instead he can fianchetto his bishop on g 2 with 7



Now，instead of castling immediately，Black should finesse with $9 . . \circlearrowright \mathrm{bd} 7$ as $100-0$ ？！©b6！prevents White unwinding his game
 wins back either the a 2 or d 5 pawns．The trick with $10 . . . \mathrm{Db}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ！ was widely praised as it was believed to render the fianchetto system harmless．However，White can by－pass it by playing 10 Ebl！instead of castling，so that if $10 \ldots . \mathrm{b} 6$ II b3 prevents $11 .$. ．今c4．White therefore gets to mobilise his queenside in the best possible style．This is a critical line in the Benko and is analysed in detail in the next chapter．

White avoids taking the second pawn
There are several extremely interesting ways for White to decline the capture on $\mathbf{2 6}$ ，ranging from the ultra－sharp 5 ©c3 to the solid and very popular 5 b6．Recent developments in all these lines are analysed in the next chapter，so we shall be selective in our discussion here．

The positional 5 b6
I d4 凤16 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 b6！？


White gives back the pawn immediately but in doing so he deprives the black bishop of a6－which means，among other
things, that White will be able to play e2-e4 without worrying about losing the right to castle after \&xfI. The black rook on a8 is also kept shut in. Therefore Black's freedom of action is restricted and if he responds passively he can quickly find himself in a bind. Here are three possible replies.

Firstly 5...e6! is a boid and critical reaction which counterattacks immediately in the centre. The plan is to win the c6 square for the knight by eliminating the white d5 pawn. This will clear the way for Black to play $\overline{\mathrm{Eb}} 8$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{xb}}$ when the rook can attack b2; it will also open a strong diagonal for the bishop when it moves to b7. Black will be left with weak centre pawns on $\mathrm{C5}$ and d 7 , or doubled pawns on $\mathrm{C5}$ and c 6 if White desires; on the other hand he can use the rook to counterattack against b2. This move will be analysed in detail in the next chapter.

Secondly 5 ...a5 plans to build up in 5 bxa6 style with \&a6, $d 7-d 6, \sum_{b d 7}$ and $\sum_{x b 6}$. In other words, Black gets most of the benefits of the Benko Gambit Accepted without giving up a pawn. Unfortunately for Black it is all too time consuming, and White can prepare a quick attack in the centre.

## A.Blees White

## J. Markus Black

Hoogeveen 1999


6 Qc3 \&a6 7 f4!

This crosses Black's plans. In breathtakingly blunt fashion White intends to power through the centre with e4-e5. He moves his f pawn before he blocks it in with 0 ) 3 , but waits to play e2-e4 until he can recapture on fl with the rook rather than the king.

## 7...d6 8 Qf3 Qbd7 9 e4 $\hat{\text { itxfl }}$



10 b7!

Despite White's basically crude plan this is a very clever subtlety. The black rook is forced to b8 where it soon becomes a target for the white bishop.

## 10...تb $\mathbf{b} 8$

 might even get to queen with the support of \&f4.


White won a piece after $11 . . . \mathrm{Dbb}^{12} 12$ e5! dxe5 13 fxe5 Qfxd5 14 Qg5! f6 15 乌e6 wivi 16 Qxd5 because of the knight forks in J.Levitt - E.Gullaksen, London 1999.

## 

The centre has been sliced open with a black bishop on $\mathrm{f8}$ and rook on h 8 still asleep - it's no wonder that direct attacking play wins the day for White.

## 



Black has been dismantled on the queenside, in the centre and now on the kingside - that's a lot to face in only 18 moves.

## 

Black stays a rook down or gets mated on e8. It's sad for Black to lose in the Benko without ever getting to use his Benko bishop - so watch out for the e4-e5 advance.

Thirdly 5...d6 is a safer way to play in the style of the mainline, though Black has less space to manoeuvre. He intends to recapture on b6 either with ${ }^{W} \times 66$ or the manoeuvre $Q b d 7$ and Qxb6. On the whole ${ }^{*} \mathrm{E} \times \mathrm{xb} 6$ looks the preferable method, though if he wishes White can put his knight on the useful c 4 square with gain of time by attacking the black queen after 4 H3-d2-c4. Another idea for White is the pawn advance a2-a4 to take away the b5 square from the black minor pieces; or he
could push the pawn one square further to a5 to take control of the b6 square. Black is solid but rather passive - though at least he isn't a pawn down!
J.Delemarre White
V.Baklan Black

Brussels 2000


The diagram position was reached after the moves I d4 Df6 2



Black is suffering from 'one knight too many' syndrome - a common ailment in the Benko, but especially severe if he has played passively against the 5 b6 variation.

Here he would dearly love to be rid of the knight on d7, which has little scope - it is denied the b6 square by the pesky pawn on a5, and is also kept out of e5. The beleagured knight also hinders the bishop on c8. As if this wasn't a problem enough, Black also has to reckon with the looming e4-e5 advance.

So Black's position looks very dismal. However, Baklan decided to make the best of a bad job. He came up with an inspired manoeuvre to ease the congestion in his camp:

## 12．．．De8！

The knight begins a long journey．An immediate benefit is that the bishop on g 7 is unleashed which prevents，or at least renders much more problematical，a white advance with e4－e5．

## $130-0$ ©c7 14 䒼d3 Db5！


 things for Black－he has activated his pieces and emerged with the better pawn structure．

## 15 \＆d2 ©d4！

And not 15．．．©xc3 16 企xc3，when the exchange of dark squared bishops would favour White．
$168 x d 4$

It was perhaps more precise to play 16 ＠a4！？aiming to force the exchange of dark squared bishops．Then $16 \ldots \pm a 717 \& c 3$
 V．Tukmakov－J．Polgar，Amsterdam 1990.


There are some pieces Black is desperate to exchange，but others that he is keen to keep：the dark squared bishop isn＇t on the For Sale list．

## 



If you compare the situation now with the diagram position at move 12 you will see that in effect the white knight on f 3 and the black knight on $d 7$ have vanished．White still has his imposing centre，but Black＇s camp is less crowded than before． Baklan managed to outwit his opponent in a tactical battle and won after 43 moves．

Black played too passively in the game above．After all，did he really offer the pawn at move three just so that he could sit inside a solid fortress and watch his opponent try to break in？ Here is a far more energetic approach．

## T．Hillarp Persson White <br> S．Conquest Black <br> Torshavn 2000

The opening moves were I d4 ©f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5
 8 a4 需xb6 9 a5 数b4！


A very important moment．By first going to b4 before retreating the black queen provokes the white rook onto a4，where it no longer defends the first rank and will be a potential target．

## $10 \pm 24$

There isn＇t much choice as e4 needs to be defended and if
 which allows White to confuse things with II Wewex
 Black wins easily．

## 10．．．暟b7 11 公3 0－0 12 Qd2

The knight heads for c 4 in order to establish a bind on the queenside，but it takes time and meanwhile White is falling behind in development．Nevertheless if 12 企 e 2 then $12 \ldots$ ．．．d7！ －directly exploiting the rook on a4 to get the bishop to an active square－ $13 \mathrm{ma}_{\mathrm{a}}$ \＆b5！ 140 0－0 Dbd7，as in J．Campos Moreno－V．Topalov，Aviles 1992，looks very comfortable for Black．

12．．．e6！
Black prefers to have activity with a weak pawn on d6 rather than allow White to impose a bind unhindered with Qc4．

## 13 ©c4 exd5 14 exd5 ig 4 ！

Having cajoled one white rook onto the poor a4 square，Black now plans to shut the other rook out of the game by denying its king the right to castle．

## 15金e2金xe2 16 dixe2

Necessary，as retaking with the queen or knight loses the d5 pawn．

## 


 \＆e7 then Black can exploit the defects of the white rooks．
On a 4 and hl they are both targets，and they have left the back
 these moves by the white queen have been more or less forced） 25．．．Qef6！（the knight heads for e4 to exploit the weakness of
 28．．． $2 g 3+$ uncovering an attack on the white queen） 28 De3曹×a4 and Black wins．

Instead White played
21 g4？
to take away the $f 5$ square from the black queen. It also clears the g 2 square for the king, which will in turn free the rook on $\mathrm{h} /$ to join in the battle in the centre. In other words, White is poised to undo all the harm caused to his rooks.

However Conquest found a way to strike immediately with


## $21 . . . g 5!!22$ @xg5 wis3

As will soon be seen, the black queen not only directly attacks the rook on hl, but indirectly threatens the rook on a4.

## $23 \boldsymbol{\operatorname { m g }} \boldsymbol{1}$ 是xc3!

The white queen is overloaded, as if 24 bxc 3 , keeping the black queen out of dl , then $24 \ldots \mathrm{mb}+$ forces mate. Here again the white rooks aren't doing their duty - one of them should be guarding the back rank.

## 

Rather than take the rook Black decides he wants the bishop. White resigned as after 26 ...t"xg 5 he will be a piece down for nothing.

The venomous 5 f3

I d4 ©f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 f3


A quiet move, but it contains a lot of poison for the unwary. For example, White can establish a grip on the centre after 5 ...axb5
 when next move $\mathbb{Q} \subset 4$ puts the knight on an excellent blockading square.

However, 5 f3 has the drawback of taking away the precious f3 square from the white knight - and this means that Black has a chance to play much more actively if he is prepared to sacrifice the queen! This line is analysed in the next chapter.

The double-edged 5 e3

## I d4 \&f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 e3

Why on Earth does White move his e-pawn only one square, when it will clearty be necessary to play e3-e4 in the near future? The point is that he wants to maintain the right to castle,
 \&xfl. White loses time by playing e2-e4 in two goes with 5 e 3 , but he hopes this will prove of less consequence than the disruption of forfeiting castling.


For some time it was thought that this was a nice, safe way for White to keep the advantage. Then Black found a good way to
 variation has been drifting in and out of fashion ever since. Here also you are directed to the next chapter for all the details.

## The Gambit Declined

Finally White can decline the gambit altogether, most notably with 4 Q13.


The main danger for Black in these lines is that he will be hit on the head with e4-e5: yes, some things never change in the Benko.

## White plays 013 and aims for a quick e4-e5

## After I d4 ©f6 2 c 4 c 53 d 5 b 54 ff3 Black has to make an

 important choice. A very good thing about $4 \ldots$...b7 is that it cuts out any nonsense with 5 exb5 because $d 5$ is left hanging.Therefore White can't change his mind and grab the gambit pawn after all. In contrast after 4 ...g6 $5 \mathrm{cxb5}$ a6 White could try the interesting 6 W c 2 or even 6 b 6 !? when he has sneakily avoided the e7-e6 lines that occur after 4 cxb 5 a 65 b6 e6.

On the other hand, 4... $\$ \mathrm{~b} 7$ delays the development of the kingside which makes a potential e4-e5 even more dangerous. And the bishop itself might end up worse placed on b7 than it would be on c8.

White can build up with either 50 bd2 bxc4 6 e4 or 5 曾c2 bxc4 6 e 4 . Here is a drastic example of the peril that Black faces if he fails to take sufficient counter measures against the advance e4-e5.
T.Radjabov White
O.Salmensuu Black

Torshavn 2000
I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 Q1


## 6．．．新 a ？

The aim of the game move is to prevent $7 \triangleq \times c 4$ ，but Black is falling seriously behind in development．It was better to challenge White＇s centre immediately with 6 ．．．e6！for example 7 dxe6 dxe6！ 8 e5 2d5 9 Qxc4 \＆e7 when Black has a grip on the d 5 square，and the pawn on $\mathrm{c5}$ ，though isolated，is controlling d4．Black managed to exploit his ascendancy down the $d$ file

 T．Fogarasi，Budapest 2003.

## 7 \＆xc4 d6 8 0－0＠bd7 9 圜e2！

White cannot be accused of playing in too sophisticated a style． He prepares e4－e5 without any more ado．For example if 9 ．．．g6
 also quite sufficient is the materialistic 14 Qb3 planning to annex the pawn on c5－14．．．©xd7 15 乌b3 followed by 16 \＆xc5＋ with a decisive attack．


## 10 b4！！

A magnificent decision．White clears the way for $\hat{l}$ b2，so that 10．．．cxb4 can be answered by the brutal II $\$ b 2 \mathrm{g6} 12 \mathrm{e} 5$ ！

At the same time he hits the c5 pawn－an important bastion in Black＇s position as it both guards the d 4 square and blocks the c file．

## 10．．．g6 II bxc5 㲅xc5

Black had no good way to recapture．If 11 ．．．dxc5 12 e5 would
 d6 exposing a double attack on 77 is also horrible for Black．

## 12 تb।！

Radjabov gets his rook out of any danger from a black bishop on g 7 and puts it on a useful attacking square before making the central breakthrough．

12．．．ت̈b8


## 13 e5！

The thunderous finale of White＇s dynamic build up．

## 13．．．dxe5 14 Qxe5 \＆．g7 15 分xd7 \＄xd7

A rather embarrassing way to recapture，but Black is being annihilated after 15．．．©xd7 16 乌e4 弾c7（17 Dd6＋wins against
any other sensible moves） 17 d 6 粠c6 18 这xf + ！when if 18．．．ter 19 g + leads to a quick mate．

## 

 to finish the game is 21 d 6 intending mate on c 7 ．

## White plays an early WIIc2

This can take various forms．For example，the immediate 4 龉 $c 2$ ；
 Intends to get in e2－e4 without losing the right to castle．It isn＇t often that moving the queen so early in the opening is a good idea，but other moves with the same idea－such as 5 f 3 and 5 e3 above－are also not without their faults．

## S．Conquest White

J．Degraeve Black
France 2001

## 



The other way of limbering up for e4－e5．As we shall see，in this game Black is not only unafraid of the move but positively invites White to play it！

## 5．．．bxc4 6 e4 g6

Black could put pressure on the white centre with 6．．．e6 which would be a better way to justify having a bishop on b7．
For example 7 dxe6 dxe6！？ 8 e5 Qd5 9 曾xc4 ©c6 $100-0$ 显e7； or 7 金xc4 exd5 8 exd5 \＆e790－0 0－0 10 2c3 d6 intending Edd7－b6 with a safe game as in S．Alonso－O．Salmensuu，Ubeda 1999．This isn＇t particularly exciting for Black but after 6．．．g6 he gets a lot of excitement of the wrong kind．

## 7 \＆xc4 全g78（2）

Here rather than the passive but safe 8．．．d6．Black played the casual

8．．．0－0？
no doubt thinking that after
9 e5 Qe8


White had over extended himself－after all，surely the centre pawns can be broken up with $10 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 6$ and 11 ．．．2d7 etc．when Black will have a pleasant game？

But Black had misjudged the dynamics of the position，which White exploited with

## 10 h4！！


to begin a direct attack on the black king．Here the time factor is crucial：Conquest has judged that he will be able to conclude the onslaught before Black can strike a meaningful counterblow in the centre．This was a vital calculation as with the white king still sitting in the centre，the failure of White＇s attack would no doubt lead to the loss of the game．

The game continued

## 10．．．d6 II h5！

No time is to be lost！

## I 1 ．．．dxe5 12 hxg6 hxg6

If 12．．．fxg6 $13 \mathrm{~d} 6+\$ \mathrm{~h} 814$ 䒼．xg6 would be ugly indeed for the black king．

## 13 We4

Sometimes chess is a simple game：the queen just heads for the $h$ file．

## 13．．．）d6 14 数h4 f6 15 气d3！

The bishop has found a deadly diagonal as if $15 \ldots \mathrm{~F} 5169 \mathrm{~g} 5$
 17 金xg6 mate．



Now comes a satisfying tactical denouement．
 22 析e4 checkmate！

The alternative 4．．．g6 fared better in the following game．

## Hoang Thanh Trang White <br> M．Prusikhin Black

Budapest 2003

## 

There is a sharper alternative： $5 \mathrm{cxb} 5 \mathrm{a6} 6 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{c} 2$ axb5，e．g． 7 e4

Wha4！（the desire to exchange queens manifested in another form．If instead 8．．．b49 ゆa3 intending 10 Dc4 looks good for

White．） 9 娄xa4 bxa4 $100 c 3$ 嗢 7 II e5（White feels compelled to play actively as if left in peace Black might achieve all the plusses of a Benko mainline without being a pawn down）
 those rare occasions when White castles queenside as the rook now bolsters d5 and the king defends b2）15．．．d6 $16 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{el}} \mathrm{ma}_{\mathrm{a}}$ 17 \＆b5 \＆．a6 18 是xa4 as in E．Bareev－V．Topalov，Sarajevo 2000，and now according to Bareev writing in Informator Black would be better after 18．．．乌g4！ 19 §g3 £xc3 20 bxc3 \＆c4 21


## 5．．．）${ }^{\text {ing }} 6$ e4



## 6．．．0－0！

Black makes do without d7－d6．That means he will be even quicker with a counter－attack on White＇s centre．If now 7 e5 Qg4，and you can see how useful It is for Black to have his bishop still on c8 rather than committed to b7 as after 8 数e4 d6 the knight on g 4 is defended；or 8 近 4 d 6 and besides the attack on e5 White has to reckon with 9．．．今f5．

## 7 cxb5 \＆b7

Only now does Black put his bishop on b7，as after White＇s capture on b5 the fizz has gone out of any e4－e5 advance－it will leave d5 hanging．

8 4c3 e6！
The correct way for Black to handle this type of centre is to challenge for the light squares．

9 d6？
Instead after 9 dxe6 fxe6 the black centre will open umbrella like with d7－d5．Still，the game move is very loosening as both d 6 and 44 are exposed to attack．The simple developing move 9 \＆e2 was much to be preferred with unclear play．

9．．．a6
Black wants to be rid of the pawn which obstructs him from developing his knight to c6．

## 10 \＆e3 axb5 11 \＆ $2 x 5$

II \＆xc5 ©a6！？ 12 \＆e3 b4 followed by a capture on e4 would be too risky for White．

1 1．．．．


Whatever may be the objective assessment of the position it is exactly the sort of thing Black hopes to get when he plays the Benko．He has every piece on an active square，a solid centre
and a safe king. Meawhile there are potential targets galore in White's position: the d6 and e4 pawns, the bishop on e3, the d4 square, and, as will be seen, the knight on $\mathbf{3}$.

## 

After $14 \ldots \unrhd \times f 3+15 \mathrm{gxf3}$ the black knight is kept out of the g 4 square. So first of all Prusikhin takes the chance to clear the way for f7-f5.

## 15 h3

White decides that a weakened kingside is a price worth paying for being rid of the dominant knight on d 4 .

## 

The thematic continuation of the attack on the light squares which began with 皿b7 and e7-e6.

## 1844 ©ct 19 e5


19...g5!

This flanking blow is a curious mirror image on the kingside of the Benko move 3...b5. It undermines the support of e5 and at
the same time opens the $g$ file to facilitate the attack on the white king.

## 20 b4 gxf4 21 Qd5?

Losing without a fight. Necessary was 21 \&xc5, though after 21 ... $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{l} \mathrm{dB}$ the transference of the black queen to the kingside would strengthen the projected attack. The rest is just nasty:

##  

Besides ideas of ${ }^{\boldsymbol{W}} \mathbf{i}$ c2, only one other try after 4... $g 6$ deserves to be mentioned, namely I d4 凤ff $2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c5} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{~b} 54$ Df3 g6 5 cxb5 a6 6 Cc3 axb5 7 d6!?

in which White takes the chance to give his opponent a disjointed pawn structure. Nevertheless, in the Benko most of Black's problems stem from the fact that he has passive pieces rather than an imperfect pawn structure, and here with $7 \ldots$... Q 7 or $7 . . . \frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{a} 5$ he achieves a lot of active play. Futhermore it is possible for Black to spurn the recapture on b5 and get on with his development with $6 \ldots$...g7 7 e $40-0$. The thrust d5-d6 now has a lot less power behind it as Black hasn't spent a tempo with

6．．．axb5，nor in doing so given White the attacking option of Qxb5．The game T．Radjabov－I．Nemet，Biel 2000 continued 8 bxa6 d6 9 昷e2（White would like to wait with this move，so that if Black plays ．．．${ }^{2} x a 6$ he could reply \＆flxa6 saving a move； but unfortunately for him there is no constructive way to wait）
 held the balance．

## Other ideas．

Along with coverage of all the well established variations，you will find off beat ideas briefly outlined in the Details chapter． These include I d4 ©f6 2 c 4 c 53 d 5 b 54 dd2！？when after 4．．．bxc4 5 e 4 there is an important nuance for Black．


White achieves an ideal piece deployment after 5．．．d6？！ $6 \AA \times 4$ g6 7 b3 全g78 \＆ 8 but with the clever move 5．．．c3！Black disrupts this perfect build up．After 6 bxc 3 g 6 White can＇t have an open diagonal for the bishop on b2 without blocking the useful square c4 with c3－c4．

## White plays 2 2f

Finally White can avoid the whole business of the Benko proper with the dastardly move 2 Q）3．

## $1 \mathrm{d4}$ 2f62203

An annoying move if you were hoping to play the Benko，but you can still try 2 ．．．c5 3 d 5 b5！？


This is more than just obstinancy on the part of Black．The pawn on b5 is performing a logical function－it controls the c 4 square and so undermines any future support of White＇s spearhead on d5 with c2－c4．It is also ready to attack the white knight with $\mathrm{b} 5-\mathrm{b} 4$ if it goes to its natural square on c3．Therefore $3 . . . \mathrm{b} 5$ is rendering problematical two of White＇s key moves in Queen Pawn openings：c2－c4 and 4 c 3 ．
If White responds with 3 c 4 then we are back In proper Benko territory．That sometimes happens，for example Conquest－ Degraeve，given earlier，began like this．The fact that White has committed himself to 2 Q 3 means that he is deprived of the chance to play any Benko lines involving a quick f2－f4 or $\mathbf{f 2 - f 3}$ ．

The plus side for White is that he can achieve a speedier development than after $2 \mathrm{c4}$ ．Therefore he should try to exploit this to inflict some disruption on Black＇s position with 4 昷g5


This really is the only dangerous move，though as the following game shows it can be more dangerous for White than Black．

M．Lodhi White
E．Ghaem Maghami Black
Turkey 2000

## Id4 ©f6 2 Q13 c5 3 d5 b5 4 \＆g

Here 4 a 4 has also been tried，but simply 4．．． $\mathbf{i b 7}$ would leave White wondering how to defend his d 5 pawn－perhaps transposing to a harmless variation of the Benko with 5 c4 would be the answer，when if 5 ．．．bxc4（ 6 b4！？） 6 ©c3．

4．．．De4


## 5 是h4

It＇s worth preserving the bishop as 5 h 4 g 66 Qbd2 ©xg5 7 $\mathrm{h} \times \mathrm{g} 5$ 昷g7 is harmless as long as Black leaves his king in the centre and mobilises his pieces for action on the queenside or in the centre．
 risky to capture on b 5 ，which rather spoils the point of putting
 intending 9．．．${ }^{-5}$ b etc．looks very dynamic for Black．Instead after
 clever way to develop the bishop on b7 as of course if 12 畨xa8？
 bishops ruled the roost in V．Golod－V．Topalov，Bugojno 1999.

## 5．．．里b7！

The attack on the $d 5$ pawn is the basis of Black＇s strategy in this variation．

6 冨d3


6．．．55！
A key move in this variation．Black shouldn＇t even dream of playing 6．．． 2 d 6 when the white pawns roll forward with 7 e 4.

Instead he supports his knight in the centre despite the loosening of his pawn structure．Of course， 7 霛xb5 $\hat{\text {＠}}$ xd5 would be a delightful swap for Black．

## 7 ©c3？

A natural move，but it turns out to be a serious blunder as White falls into a ghastly pin．One of the good things about avoiding 2 c4 is that White still has the option of the solid move c2－c3．He
 win at once with 8．．．ゆb4－8c3！and White keeps some advantage in this unclear position．

## 

The pin on c3 is highly awkward for White．To make matters even worse the white queen finds herself strangely boxed in on d4 and a target for the black pieces．

## 9 e3 e6 10 dxe6 dxe6｜｜0－0－0 今d5！



Blocking the $d$ file is the complete answer to White＇s attack． Now there is the terrible threat of $12 \ldots 0 \mathrm{c} 6$ trapping the white queen．

If $12 \triangleq x d 5$ ©c6 13 Qf6＋ $0 x f 6$ defends against mate on d7， when the double threat to the white queen and $a 2$ is decisive．

## 12．．．fxe4 13 De5 wilixa2


 14．．．©c6 as his queen is lost．If instead 14 粠xe4 hoping against
粞 $\times \mathrm{dl} I+$ ！would also lead to a quick shower．

## What＇s Hot？

The aim of this chapter is to give you some guidance about where you should be focusing your preparation in the Benko． Basically it should be on two things：

Firstly，the popular theoretical lines that are most likely to come up in tournament games；and secondly，the sharp attacking lines which will send you to the underworld in around $\mathbf{2 0}$ moves if you don＇t know anything about them．

Of course in some cases the popular lines also happen to be the most aggressive－which gives you two things to worry about，a possible surprise new move and the danger of going wrong in the complications．On the other hand，you are less likely to forget the key moves in a sharp variation if you face it regularly in your games．I find it is more irritating when my opponent hits me with a sharp off beat line I haven＇t faced for ten years （it always seems to happen in the third round of a Swiss tournament terribly early on a Sunday morning）．

Theory is forever advancing．Systems come in and out of fashion as new moves are discovered and methods of play are refined．If you regard the middlegame as unexplored territory，then theory is like the incoming tide of the sea（or possibly the River Volga） that edges a bit further up the beach each time and then retreats again，taking a bit more of the land with it．

Nothing can resist this gradual erosion of the middlegame．If you played through Alburt＇s beautiful win against Hort in the heroes
chapter you have probably been mesmerised by its beauty and want to play the same way as Black．Indeed，a whole generation of players trembled to go near this line as White for fear of suffering a similar fate．It wasn＇t until a game in $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ that Grandmaster Grigore showed the chess world what was really happening in the opening．

G．Grigore White：
R．Costantini Black
Cesenatico 2000


Here he played 14 b4！which is much stronger than Hort＇s 14 b3．Why not take advantage of the fact that Black has put his queen on the same line as the white rook？There followed
 has found its ideal diagonal and hides away from danger on al． Unless Black can do something fast he is in trouble as the compensation for the pawn is evaporating．Alas，there is no queen sacrifice available in＇Alburt style＇．The game finished 17．．．Dc4 18 \＃xb8＋シxb8 19 Qb3！As well as embarrassing the black queen and getting the bishop to al，with 14 b4！White has opened up the route to c6 for his knight．19．．．栄b6 20
 forced into the exchange of queens．23．．．Exa7 24 苗xb4 $\mathrm{Ea}_{\mathrm{a}}$ 25 Qc6 \＄18 26 घ̈bl！The finish had to be well calculated．
26．．．De8 $27 \Xi_{b 3}$ \＆xc3 It looks as if Black is going to regain his
 After 30．．．exc6 31 ．dxc6 the passed pawn rolls through，while otherwise the light squared bishop runs out of squares． 31 ．．．\＆．f5 31 e4 ig $32 \mathrm{f3}$ 1－0 The bishop gets trapped by a curtain of white pawns after 32 ．．．ih5 33 g 4 ．

This reversal in no way denigrates Alburt＇s achievement versus Hort－he played with imagination and energy to produce the greatest Benko game ever seen．Creatively speaking，we should all be pleased that Grigore has added a pretty game to the folk lore of the Benko Gambit．

However，it does mean that if you copy Alburt＇s moves against a well booked opponent you are likely to end up in trouble．The good news is that this line is hardly critical and Black can get perfectly sufficient counterplay if at move 12 he leaves his queen at home．


For example，instead of $12 \ldots . . \frac{1}{6} \mathrm{c} 7$ he could try $12 \ldots$ ．．． planning the knight manoeuvre $\mathrm{Dc}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathbf{7 - b 5}$ ，or perhaps $12 . . . \boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{a}} 7$ ！？ possibly planning the same idea as in the Alburt game but with the queen on a8 rather than b7．Alternatively，after 12．．．wivc7 13．$毋 \mathrm{~d} 2$ he could just play the straightforward 13 ．．． $\mathbf{m f b} 8$ ．

Here is another example of an advance in theory，this time far more benign for Black．

 12 피 1 ²6


Here White has tried the no nonsense 13 e5，which aims to break Black＇s position in half with the minimum of preparation． After 13．．． 0 g 414 e6 the capture 14．．．fxe6！iooks very sensible，as it opens the $f$ file and keeps the black centre intact．


However，in pre－2000 Benko books you will read that it actually
 16 娄xe6＋．
It is surprising therefore that after 15 溇 e 2 Black has managed to score 3／3！（Moral：see what happens in master games，don＇t take on trust what it says in books．）

This discrepancy between theory and results is due to the fact that Black has discovered that 15 ．．．Dde5！gives him an excellent attack．If 16 ©xe5 $\Xi^{x} \times 2+$ picks up the white queen with a clear advantage despite the complications，while 16 wab gives Black a choice of captures on f 3 with fine chances in either case．
 18 ©dI Ed3 19 显4



27 䊣xh3 \＆d4 mate as played in D．Bekker Jensen－
S．Petrosian，Hamburg 2000.
It is rare for improvements on known theory to be as devastating as this．Thus a less dramatic，but highly noteworthy addition to Black＇s resources was discovered some years ago against the＇maverick＇move 5 Dc3．

The outrageous 4 cxb5 a6 5 dc3！？meets its match
First of all I want to point out that
I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 \＆xa6 6 \＆c3

I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 気3 axb5 6 Qxb5


So 5 Qc3 could turn out to be a bizarre way to reach the mainline Benko．

However，the issue under discussion is：
｜d4 ゆf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 صc3 axb5 6 e4！？b4 7 乌b5


The good news for White is that his knight has found an outpost square deep in enemy territory where it can influence the centre．The bad news is that the knight is trapped－any attempt to escape from b5 spells instant death．On the other hand，it cannot be attacked by a black pawn and if necessary can be supported by a2－a4．Meanwhile the immediate threat is 8 e 5 ， driving the black knight back in ignominy to g 8 ．

And if 7．．．Dxe4？？then white knight has the last laugh： 8 溳e2 when if B．．．Qf6 9 Dd6 mate！Some surprisingly strong players have fallen for this trick－the biggest victim was a player rated 2420．In one example Black saw the threat after 8 we2 and battled on gamely with $\mathbf{B} . . .559 \mathbf{f} \mathbf{E a 5}$ ！？（alas if the knight moves it＇s still mate on d6） 10 fxe4 息a6 Black now regains his piece，but．．．


 mate in two after 15．．．ef6） 16 寝g6＋\＄f8 17 O．h6 1－0 A．Zontakh－D．Milanovic，Belgrade 1998．The black king is
 intending $20 \mathrm{Q} 5+$ or $20 \mathrm{~g} 5+$ ．

So White＇s threats have to be taken very seriously－a surprised opponent is unlikely to escape alive．

7．．．d6！
Necessary．
8 皿 14


Giving Black a second chance to commit suicide－8．．． $2 x$ xe4？ 9
 double checkmate！

8．．．g5！
After deflecting the white bishop from the attack on d6 Black is able to capture safely the e4 pawn．At the same time he clears the g7 square for his bishop with gain of time．

## 9 全 $\times \mathrm{g} 5$

 \＆e3！？certainly deserves attention．If you wish you can check it up in the Details chapter．

9．．．©xe4


10 最 4

The plus points for Black are that he has destroyed the mobility of White＇s pawn centre－he can no longer be terrorised by the e4－e5 advance which proves a bane in many lines of the Benko． Furthermore，he has left White with a pawn on d5 which will prove very hard to defend：this pawn can be attacked by $\hat{\&} \mathrm{~b} 7$ ，

also the question of the knight on b 5 ，out on a limb on the queenside．This too can be attacked directly with $\& .6$ and $\begin{gathered}\text { wit } \\ d 7\end{gathered}$ ， etc．

On the other hand．White still has a lead in development and he hasn＇t given up hope of conquering $\mathrm{d6}$ ．And the absence of a black pawn on $g 6$ means that he can try to build up an attack by putting a knight on f 5 or h5；also a bishop stationed on d 3 can take a direct aim at h 7 ．

Still，how successful can a kingside attack hope to be when there is a knight on b5 that is cut off from the action and a pawn on d5 that needs constant defence？

In chess books prior to the late nineties the move $10 \ldots \mathrm{Hg} 7$ is universally recommended，with the wild continuation II 楼e2
 dynamic play for the pawn，positionally speaking it rather lets White off the hook－he has not only got rid of his problem knight on b5 but he has exchanged it for a healthy bishop and won a pawn to boot！

These days Black has become much meaner and prefers

## 10．．．066！！



A paradoxical decision．The knight isn＇t attacked and Black is behind in development，but he still prefers to retreat his knight forthwith．Now after $11 \| \mathrm{E} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ！defends d 6 after which 12．．． $0 x d 5$ is hard to meet．Let＇s look at a big name game in this line．

## W．Arencibia White

V．lvanchuk Black
Cap d＇Agde 1998
1 d4 分f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 Qc3 axb5 6 e4 b4 7 Qb5



## 12．．． 0 bd7！

No time is to be wasted in getting the knight to b6 where it attacks both d 5 and the bishop．If instead Black spends a move on castling，then after 12．．．0－0 13 0g3 Qbd7 White has the chance to play $14 \triangleq f 5!?$ as the bishop on $c 8$ has temporarily lost control of the f 5 square．

13 Qg3 毋b6 14 b3 0－0 15 0－0 企d7

Already White has no good way to meet the attack on his knight and the d5 pawn．Arencibia therefore jettisons the d5 pawn and stakes everything on a kingside attack．

## 16 需d2 Qfxd5！ 17 金h6 显xh6 18 显xh6 f6

It takes some nerve to allow the white queen to set up residence so near your king，but lvanchuk has correctly judged that by putting his king＇s rook on 77 and the queen on 88 he will neutralise the attack．

##  



The black pawns have began to flex their muscles．If this had been a slow rate game I＇m sure Ivanchuk would have won easily， but the Cuban Grandmaster managed to save himself by generating complications after 27 f4！？Still there is no doubt that 10．．．Df6！looks more promising than the long established 10．．．\＆g7．

So far we have looked at some examples of individual moves that have reshaped theory．Now we shall examine a couple of fashionable variations，in which an＇arms struggle＇is taking place．

## Black＇s counterattacking variation 4 cxb5 a6 5 b6 e6！

After 5 b6 d6 Black keeps a solid，fautless pawn structure but leaves himself with few aggressive options；meanwhile 5 ．．．a5 is a little too risky．Therefore in modern tournament play Black has mostly preferred 5．．．e6 accepting a compromised pawn structure in return for piece activity．

I．Ivanisevic White
J．Degraeve Black
Bled 2002
Id4 ©f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 b6 e6！


Played in the spirit of the Blumenfeld．White will now gain the better pawn structure，but the black pieces can＇t be kept under lock and key－which was White＇s original intention in keeping things blocked up with 5 b6．

## 6 De3 ゆxd5 7 थxd5 exd5 8 世xd5 थc6 9 थf

The white bishop heads for c3 via g5！This embodies an interesting strategical plan，but Degraeve is able to defeat it with some vigorous play．


Now White is ready to play 13 e4 and 14 Dc4 intending 15 Nit7＋etc．with decisive threats against the black king who is trapped in the centre－thanks to 10 \＆g5 which provoked 10．．．f6．With White only two moves away from proving his opening strategy to be a complete success，Black must quickly generate counterchances．

## 12．．．2b4！ 13 wivl d5！

Now White＇s plans have been overthrown and his attempt to continue to play sharply in the centre just leads to more trouble．

14 e4？
He had to make do with 14 e3


The pin in d2 is highly awkward as it keeps the white king trapped in the centre．

## 18 g3 点g4！19 皿e2 \＆xe2 20 类xe2 d3！

A decisive opening of lines．
 enough for his queen and lost quickly．

P．Deiler White
J．Degraeve Black
Metz 2000

## I d4 Df6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 b6 e6 6 Qc3 Dxd5 7 Qxd5 



A ferocious looking move．The threat is II Wiviry mate and if 10．．．Dxe5？II 曹xe5＋wins the rook on b8．Meanwhile Black also has to reckon with II $\mathrm{Dxc}^{\prime}$ ．Therefore his reply is forced．

## 10．．．${ }^{\text {Wiff6 }}$ II Oxc6 dxc6 12 曹f3


 compensated for his shattered queenside pawns in L．Van Wely－ B．Gelfand Cap d＇Agde 1996.

12．．．\＆e7！

Black acquiesces to the exchange of queens，but only at the high price of getting his bishop aimed at b2 after 13 畨xf6 \＆xf6．

## 13 昷d2！

The best try to keep up the pressure．

## 13．．．${ }^{\text {wivex }} \times 2$ ？

Black boldly accepts the pawn．Instead after 13．．．． w x $\times 314$ exf3
 curious position was reached in C．Crouch－L．Trent，Camberley 2001.


White still has his passed pawn on b6 and queens have been exchanged，but his king still finds himself being hunted．There





29 Ee2？？Black＇s attacking play finally pays off．Instead．White could have wriggled out with 29 f5！which seems to indicate that Black＇s attack was all a bluff－though admittedly it was a very powerful bluff！29．．． $\mathbf{\Xi}_{x e 2}$ ！0－1．Here White saw to his horror that after 30 \＆xe2 f5！the wanderings of his king are over and mate follows on h5．

## 14 足c3 新xb6 15 真xg7？

The no－nonsense move is 15 e 4 ！e．g． 15 ．．．皿e6 16 崄g3 was played in J．Parker－P．Claesen，Mondariz 2000，and here 16．．．f6！
 counterplay as in the Degraeve game．

## 



Who says double and isolated pawns are weak？The black c－ pawn not only clears the way for the bishop to go to c 5 or b4 to join in the attack，but will also strike the final blow of the game．

17 熏e4
White despairs of being able to complete his development and so goes on a useless queen hunt to win more pawns．If instead

 22 官e2 c3！0－1
 the queen．

The attempt to profit through giving Black doubled pawns therefore seems to falter in the face of dynamic counterplay．

## E．Gleizerov White

PTregubov Black
Krasnoyarsk 2003

##  

A less ambitious but nevertheless dangerous move．White develops in straightforward style and aims to exploit the static weaknesses in Black＇s pawn structure：namely the hole on d5 and the backward pawn on d7．

## 10．．．fe7

Not 10．．．\＆b4？I｜富e5＋and wins．Black develops his bishop so that he can meet White＇s next move by castling－a more economical deployment than 10 ．．．${ }^{-} \times b 6$ II Sc4 which forces him to use his queen to defend 77 ．

## 11 皿c4000



12 需h5
A finesse：after 120－0 Black could simply answer 12．．${ }^{-} \times \mathrm{xb} 6$ ． when 13 譄h5 d6 transposes，but in addition he has the interesting option 12．．．乌a5！？to drive the white bishop from the
 leads to an unclear position．

## 12．．．d6 13 0－0 ت̈xb6 14 b3！道e6

Black challenges the white bishop：if he is allowed to play 15 ．．．\＆xc4 then 16 bxc4 leaves the pawn on $\mathbf{c 4}$ as a serious weakness．There is nothing to be gained by $14 \ldots .$. 昷 66 as White
 slight edge．

## 15 全xe6 fxe6



Black can be pleased that the hole on $\mathbf{d 5}$ has vanished and his centre pawns have flexibility again；but he still has to be careful as both e6 and d6 are vulnerable to attack，and the rook on b6 is on a poor square．Gleizerov begins to probe the black centre pawns．


A move like 17 ．．．e5 creates a strong square for the black knight on d4，but it is double edged as it fixes the black pawns in a rigid structure that can also be exploited by the white knight－
 chances in the position．Therefore Tregubov prefers to meet the threat of mate on g 7 by offering the exchange of bishops， though this means that the d6 pawn loses one of its guardians．

## 18 \＆xf6 Exf6 19 Dd2！

The knight heads for $\mathbf{c} 4$ where it will attack both the black rook on b6 and the d6 pawn．

## 19．．．薮 18 ！

The queen both defends the $d 6$ pawn and breaks the pin on the e6 pawn so that the advance d 6 － d 5 becomes feasible．

20 Oc4 Eb7


## 21 4！

White gains space and takes the $f 4$ square away from the black rook．Now Black has to reckon with 22 e 5 splitting up his pawns or even in the future $0 \times \mathrm{xd} 6$ followed by a pawn fork on
数h3 d5 gives Black a solid centre．

## 21．．．Ebf7 22 g3 d5

Tregubov has defended accurately and now can use his pawns to generate counterplay．

23 Qd2 Qd4 24 玉ael c4！


While all the heavy pieces are engaged in a stand off on the kingside Black creates a passed pawn on the queen＇s wing．

25 exd5 exd5 26 bxc4 dxc4 27 el
If $27 \triangleq x c 4$ 畨c5，with the double threat of capturing the knight and a discovered check，looks too risky for any non－computer to contemplate．Therefore White focuses on generating attacking chances against the black king．

## 

After this exchange sacrifice Black＇s passed pawn ensures him a draw but nothing more．Fritz recommends the cold blooded 30 ．．．$\Xi \mathrm{d} 8!$ ？ruling out any pins on the d file and preparing to
 regains his material with a clear advantage．


## $\mathbf{3 6}$ ゆg2！

White gains the draw by calmly stepping out of the potential pin．

 would be embarrassing．
 픋6 $+\mathbf{d} 71 / 2-1 / 2$

The life and death variation 4 cxb5 a6 5 e3

Although the main theoretical battles in this variation were fought some years ago，you are still certain to get your fingers burnt if you go into combat knowing nothing about it．This variation comes in and out of fashion and has caught many victims．

## I d4 ©f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 e3

White is prepared to play e2－e4 in two goes rather than one as he wants to retain the right to castle．


An aggressive response to the anaemic looking 5 e3．White has been slow defending d 5 and so Black plans to undermine it with e7－e6．This plan will work all the better with the bishop on b7， as the bishop will not only attack $d 5$ but also put indirect pressure on g2 once White recaptures on b5 with his bishop．

## 6 ©c3 axb5 7 §xb5 要a5



The variation with 8 id2 caused a lot of excitement some years ago，but now it seems to have been neutralised by 8 ．．．Wb6 9

 fxe4 2 d 7 and Black will win his pawn back with either $\sum \mathrm{c} 5$ or Ea4，with equal chances．Alternatively，if 9 \＆．c4 e6 10 Witb3 ＂IIIxb3 II 金xb3 乌a6！ $12 \mathrm{f3}$ Qb4 is awkward for White in Y．Zimmerman－A．Chernin，Hungary 1999.

White is therefore obliged to give up one of his centre pawns if he wants to try for the initiative－but which one？He has tried both 8 gge2 and 8 e4 here．

## White gives up his e－pawn

## 8 e4 0xe4



If you didn＇t know this was theory you might think that White had forgotten his knight was pinned and just blundered the e－ pawn！

## 9 Oge2 0d6 10 导d3

The most radical move．White gives up his whole pawn centre to open up lines against the black king．While objectively I believe Black must stand well here，the practical difficulties shouldn＇t be underestimated．

The alternative was 10 \＆a4．GM Davor Komljenovic，an expert on this variation，was doing okay after 10 \＆． 4 g 6 I｜0－0 $\hat{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{g} 7$ 12 \＄g5 $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ！defending e7 as a prelude to $13 . .0-0$ in R．Montecatine－D．Komljenovic，Benasque 1993.

10．．．全xd5｜｜0－0 昷e6！？

A clever idea that has never been refuted．The bishop bodily blocks the attack on the e7 pawn．Black stood excellently after

 S．Estremera Panos－D．Komljenovic，Pamplona 1995.


The black king is，in a way，castled in the centre，while his pieces are active on the wings．Furthermore he has an astronomical advantage in pawns in the centre．One reason I trust 11 ．．．\＆e6 is that Komljenovic had faced the same player in 1992 in this variation and played the more obvious 11 ．．．显c6．He had won that game too but after some frights．So 11 ．．．\＆e 6 was the result of three years gestation，and not a random decision made at the board．The strength of the move is verified by the fact that when they played again the Spanish player chickened out with I c4！

White gives up his d－pawn


This should be treated with respect as it has been used by heavyweights such as Kramnik and Shirov．

## 8 2ge2 $2 x d 5$

8．．．$£ \times \mathrm{x} 5$ isn＇t bad either．

## $90-0$ ec7！？

Usual is $9 \ldots .2 \times 310 \sum \times c 3$ ，but the game move is interesting and avoids the theoretical minefield．

## 10 \＆ L 4



10．．．e6！

Black wisely delays the development of his queen＇s knight． After 10．．．©c6 II £d2 things get awkward for the black queen after II．．．曹a7 12 a4 e6 13 Db5！However，10．．．e6 has neutralised this by leaving c6 free for his queen： 11 \＆d2 Ultib6 12 Da4？蓸c6 now wins a knight．

## II e4 \＆e7 12 气g3

White goes for an all out assault on the kingside，but unfortunately there is no king there to attack．As in the example
above，the black king is content to sit in the centre and let his pieces work on the flanks around him．

12 』f4 was sensible，but 12．．0－0，planning $13 \ldots$ ．．．Ed8 defending d7，followed by 0 c6．looks fine for Black．

##  © 1 6 © 0 5！



The knight heads for $d 4$ to plug the $d$ file，after which White＇s rooks will have no open lines．

White has run out of ideas and returns his bishop to the centre． That at least prevents 20．．．2e5，but now Black＇s play on the flanks is triumphant．

## 20．．．h5！ 21 Qxh5？

Obviously White didn＇t want to submit to 21 Wivl h4 22 Dge2 h3！？but this capture is disastrous．

## 21．．．玉h8 22 Qg7＋

White is doomed to lose a piece for if $22 \triangleq g 3$ घ̈h4 picks up the bishop．
and Black won in D．Shapiro－B．Annakov，New York 2000.

There are two golden rules for Black in this line．First，he mustn＇t hurry to castle kingside；and second he should develop with e7－e6 and \＆e7 rather than g7－g6 and \＆g7．I can＇t avoid showing you what happened to Black when he broke these rules in a recent game：

## V．Babula White

J．Stocek Black
Czech Republic 2000

The opening moves had been
I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 e3 axb5 6 ＠xb5

 Qxd7 14 Qxc3 is excellent for White） 12 e5！Qg4 $13 \mathrm{f4} \mathrm{h5} 14$



Now Black tried to buy off the attack with 17．．．0－0？offering the d7 pawn，but the only chance of survival was to keep the king in the centre as White spurned material in favour of a kingside attack．


With the black queen shut out of the game on a5 you only have to count pieces to know that this must be overwhelming． Nevertheless，the winning method is very pretty．

19．．．hxg3 20 fxe7！！
White prefers to take a pawn rather than the bishop！ This is an astonishing decision until you realise that the key thing is to break through on 77.

## 20．．．Efe8 21 是xh6 是xh6 22 娄f3

Exactly：now $\mathrm{f7}$ falls as 22 ．．．巴xe7 drops the rook on a8．



White is still a piece down but the strength of his passed pawn， far safer king and superior piece coordination is decisive．The final battle，which includes a sham queen sacrifice，is enthralling：

##   

## The Queen Sacrifice Variation：an exciting adventure after 5 f3

The line I d4 Df6 $2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c} 53 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{~b} 54 \mathrm{cxb5} \mathrm{a6} 5 \mathrm{f} 3$ axb5 6 e4
 did you really offer the gambit pawn at move three in order to end up in this passive position？A heavily analysed and much disputed queen sacrifice reveals a far more exciting way to handle the black pieces．

## B．Lalic White

A．Khalifman Black
Linares 1997

## I d4 4f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 13



Michael Adams，a one time Benko aficionado，writes with some irritation about this move in his autobiography Chess in the Fast Lane：＇I find it most surprising that such a stupid looking move can be so strong＇．Of course he was writing two years before Khalifman sacrificed his queen．


5．．．e6！

Far more enterprising than 5．．．axb5 6 e 4 畨a5 +7 目d2 b4 8 \＆a3！d6（much better than 8．．．今a6 9 ©c4 which led to trouble for Black in the Gurevich－Miles game given in the Tricks and Traps chapter） 9 Qc4 曹d8 10 \＆d3 e6！II dxe6 Qxe6 12 有2 d5 13 exd5 $\triangleq x d 5$ and now either 14 有4 or $140-0$ gives White some advantage．

6 e4

If 6 dxe6 fxe6 gives Black a very nice version of the Blumenfeld． He can develop effortlessly with d7－d5，\＆d6 and 0－0 when a strong sacrifice，possibly on e4，should appear by itself．The move 12－f3 would then look very foolish．

6．．．exd5 7 e5

The critical move which seeks to reduce Black＇s opening to absurdity．

7．．． 嘗e7
The white queen must be distracted from dl as $7 . .$. U $_{\mathrm{g}} 88$ 娄 xd 5 Ia7 9 \＆c4 would be horrid for Black．

8 冨e2 0 g 8
The black knight is obliged to return home，but on the other hand White＇s development hasn＇t been sinless elther－in fact after 8 moves the players have only managed to develop their queens！

9 ⿹勹c3 \＆b7

Black must hold onto the d 5 square，but after．．．
10 Sh3！
as another plus point the queen clears the e7 square to allow some good old fashioned development．

## ｜l 1 金 ${ }^{3}$

White keeps the black queen out of c 5 and so renews the idea of 2 f 4 ．

## 11．．．axb5

Black can afford his liberties with his development because the centre is still fairly blocked．For this reason II．．．W＇ive5 looks suicidal after $120-0-0$ followed by ${ }^{1 / 1 / d} \mathrm{~d} 2$ and moving the bishop from e3 to make way for $\boldsymbol{\Xi e l}+$ etc．The game move is positionally well－motivated as it＇develops＇the rook on a8 by giving it an open file and strengthens the c4 centre point．

## $120-0-0$



White＇s rapid mobilisation of his pieces makes a startling contrast to Black＇s kingside pieces whose one contribution to the game so far has been the edifying manoeuvre $1 . . . \sum f 6$ and 8．．．Eg8．Of course Black can claim that White has made a fundamental error：he has put his king into the lion＇s mouth by castling queenside in the Benko．Still all this will mean nothing if

White is allowed to crash through in the centre with 13 Df4 and 14 公fxd5．

## 12．．．撆b4！

The queen runs to the queenside so that d 5 can be bolstered by putting the knight on e ．She also has an ingenious idea in mind．

## 13 © 4 De7 14 曾b6！

White sets up his next move by controlling the d 8 square with his bishop．

14．．．h5！


Unable to develop his rook by normal means Khalifman prepares to swing it into the game via h6．

## 15 Exd5！

White＇s attack has reached its apex．There is the terrible threat of $16 \Xi_{x b 5}$ trapping the black queen，and the black king is in for a brutal time if the exchange offer is accepted：
 can＇t afford to walk into a discovered check on d8） 19 wivd 2 ！
with the double threat of a check on d 6 or g 5 will win．


 White is a pawn up in the endgame．However，there was a game changing surprise：

## 



The queen sacrifice has come to Black＇s rescue．Materially speaking he only acquires a rook and a knight but he has broken White＇s attack and gained a strong initiative against the white king．Perhaps an unexcitable computer or a well prepared player －that is to say a player who has checked things over with an unexcitable computer－could have beaten off the attack，but the practical difficulties proved too much even for a strong Grandmaster like Bodgan Lalic．It is a psychologically tough task to switch from attacking to defending．

## 

Naturally a Benko Gambit player isn＇t going to miss the chance to open up lines when his opponent＇s king is on cl．


The concluding combination. Khalifman has worked out that he can win the game without any help from the rook on h8.

## 26 Exf5 Qb4 27 Wa5

He must stop the mate threat on al.

## 27...g6! 0-1

An elegant finishing touch. White's queen and rook are hanging, but the killer threat is $28 \ldots \mathrm{O} 6+29 \mathrm{dl}$ c2+

A spectacular result for Black, but theory never stands still in such a sharp variation. Let's look at some of the more recent developments.


Here in A.Allemann - R.Costantini, Biel 2001, White came up with 17 電e4!?

The queen pins the knight against the bishop, but is walking into a potential discovered attack. It is no wonder that Lalic wasn't prepared to risk this against Khalifman without any pre-game research. One check from the knight on d 5 would be enough to end the game. Nevertheless, if Black doesn't come up with
something convincing then he is just going to drop more material. If $17 \ldots$.. $0 \times 5418 \mathrm{w} \times \mathrm{W} 7$ is hopeless for Black, so he tried $17 . .$. \&a3+18 $d \mathrm{~d} 2$. The only move not to fall for a queen winning knight check, but it will be very helpful for Black that his rook will be able to take on a2 with check. 18... $2 x$ xb 19 wivb7 \&c5 20 Dd5! White can't afford to waste any time - it is essential to break the coordination of the black pieces or else Black will capture on a2 then castle with every chance to win.

## 20...Exa2+21 क्षd| 0-0!

The only move. The game would end exactly as Black wishes
是e3 or 24 del $\hat{1}$.f2 mate, but White has the evil move
 well as if 23 ...de6 24 wid $6+$.

22 2xb6


A critical moment. The game continued very sharply



White's extra material must count now that he has got his kingside pieces out.

29．．． $064+30$ 楼xb4！

White is quite happy to return the queen to be a piece up in the endgame．Black tried

## 30．．．Exh I

but soon ran out of resources：

##  

Black understandably felt obliged to do something active as he is a queen for a rook down．But returning to the diagram position above，one of the best features of the position for him is that the white king is trapped on the first rank．This means that Black need only play ${ }^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{b} 2$ and get his king＇s rook to al for White to be mated－okay，I admit this is a very big＇only＇！Another plus is that with the white king on the back rank White can＇t develop with \＆e2 without dropping the rook on hl ．

Therefore the calm 22．．．$\& x b 623$ Wxb6 ©c6 looks best．

 Ebl．Or 24 企e2 $\Xi_{a l}+$ wins a rook．Meanwhile Black is
 24．．．巴b8 followed by b5－b4 or even 24．．．b4 straightaway．And don＇t forget that Qxe5 is possible．Therefore，despite White＇s huge material advantage，I think he is facing severe problems．

After his game with Khalifman，Lalic came to the conclusion that he should have played 14 雷 $\mathbf{f 2}$（rather than 14 \＆b6）．


He tried the new move against Alterman at Pula 1997．There followed

## 14．．．．毋a6 15 कы！


 the queen，but it can be prevented by $Q_{\mathrm{f}} 5$－it is $18 \mathrm{Qcl}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ that is the killer threat．）

Alterman tried

## 15．．．थf5 16 Qfxd5 \＆xd5 17 Qxd5 थxe3！

As usual Black is prepared to sacrifice his queen，but Lalic has learnt from bitter experience and declined the offer with

## 18 塂xe3！

 for Black．

## 

and now Alterman gives 21 g 3 ！planning 22 今h3 as a positional plus for White．I＇ve looked at this in some detail and there is no escaping from the fact that Black has a weak pawn on d 7 and a hole on d6，made all the worse as the white knight has the e4 square．

Returning to the previous diagram instead of Alterman＇s 14．．．Da6．

## 14．．． $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{W} 5$ ！？

has been recommended，in order to get the queen away from any traps and also out of the range of a knight should White play $\Delta x d 5$ ．On a positive note， $15 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 4$ is threatened．But can Black afford to spend another tempo moving his queen？In the game Lim Chuing Hoong－Wong Zi Jing，Penang 2000，White played ultra aggressively with

## 



It turns out that the black queen hasn＇t escaped from the knight


 tried 16．．．今c6 17 a3 Qg6 18 \＆xg6 hxg6？but was massacred

 Ef8 25 wiw $5+$ picks up the rook on g8．

A spectacular win for White，but on the chesspublishing．com website Jon Tisdall points out that Black could have played 18．．．fxg6！making a hole on 77 for his king．


Theory needs to investigate lines such as 19 e6 dxe6 20 표 $8+$
缕xb5 23 断d4，but in the latter case having an extra rook certainly helps Black．

That concludes our look at the queen sacrifice variation．Black has tremendous practical chances as most players find it difficult to handle the defence in such situations；and objectively speaking it isn＇t even clear that White is doing OK．

## The crunch variation in the Benko Mainline

Finally, we should look at what is regarded at the moment as White's most promising line against the Benko. It is to be found in the fianchetto variation beginning

1 d4 ©f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 気c3 \&xa6 7 Qf3 ig7 8 g 3 d 69 §g2


It is a sign of the contrary nature of chess theory that putting the bishop on g 2 used to be regarded as a limp way to meet the Benko - in effect the white bishop stood accused of running away from the challenge posed by the black bishop on a6. Now, however, the virtues of the fianchetto are fully appreciated. They can be summarised as follows:

First, compared to the 7 e4 ixfl variation White gets to castle, which is self evidently a good thing.

Second, the bishop on g2 deters Black from playing in the centre with e7-e6. And if Black does manage to achieve e7-e6 in a safe way, the white king is going to feel a whole lot better with a bishop guarding the light squares around him than he does in the castling by hand g2-g3 and $\mathrm{gg}_{\mathrm{g}}$ variation. Furthermore, after e7-e6 and the exchange $d 5 x e 6 ; 77 \times e 6$ the white bishop sometimes pounces on the e6 weakling with \$h3.

Thirdly - and this is the clincher - the white bishop is in a general sense a better piece on g 2 than the black bishop is on a6. This might seem an astonishing claim, as the bishop on a6 controls far more squares than the bishop on g2. True; but the value of a piece depends foremost on how it relates to the other pieces. The bishop on g2 gets in no one's way and is reassuring to the white king; in contrast the black bishop obstructs his queen and rooks on the $a$ - and $b$-files, while even if Black puts it on c4 it might take away a square that a black knight could have used to assail b2.

Incidentally, for this reason White must be very cautious about playing the pawn advance e2-e4 after he has played $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{g} 2$. The pawn on e 2 is restricting the black bishop, and in general should only advance when there is a quick e4-e5 in the offing (perhaps combined with f2-f4).

White has been achieving his success with one specific sequence of moves:




As explained in the strategy chapter, if 10000 then Black has the

the a2 or d5 pawn with good play for Black．By playing the immediate 10 White removes this resource from Black，as 10 ．．．ゆb6 can now be answered by 11 b3！stopping 11 ．．．\＆c4． Thus White ensures he stays a pawn up．He intends to play very solidly and carefully to neutralise any Black counterplay．Having evacuated the rook from al，the moves b2－b3，定b2 and a2－a4 are on his agenda，to deprive the black pieces of space and opportunities on the queenside．

J．Piket White
V．Topalov Black
Wijk aan Zee 1999
I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 Qc3 0 ．xa6 7




Topalov comes up with the plan of putting his queen on a8 where she both attacks d 5 and adds to the pressure down the a－file．

## $13 a 4$

Now the white pawns on a4 and b3 not only take away the c4 and $b 5$ squares from the black minor pieces but as will be seen provide potential outposts on these squares for the white knights．

However，there was no need to present Black with a potential target on b3 or a loose pawn on a4 in the event of a c5－c4 counterthrust．A couple of years later Epishin refined White＇s opening strategy via a transposition with 13 mel wa8 14 Oh4 シb8 15 金b2．Black could find no constructive plan and White achieved a quick breakthrough in the centre after 15．．．QeB 16 \＆al ©c7 17 e4 ©d7 18 थf3 ©b5 19 ©xb5 V．Epishin－P．Schuurman，Creon 2002.

You can see from this extract that the secret of playing this variation well for White is to combine careful defensive moves （ 13 \＃el！ 16 ！al！）with overt aggression at the correct moment （20 e5！）．Not many ordinary players can play in this style－they will lack the patience to make little，quiet moves or fail to seize the moment to switch to the attack．Therefore，although the theoretical assessment is in White＇s favour，this probably won＇t mean too much if you aren＇t playing a Grandmaster．

## 13．．．歯28 14 Ch4！



Uncovering a defence of d5 by the bishop on g2 looks somewhat strange，but it is an important feature of this variation．Because it is available White hasn＇t had to waste time arranging the defence of d 5 with E e and $\mathrm{e} 2-\mathrm{e} 4$－and has also avoided the loosening that e2－e4 entails．

## 14．．．تb8 15 血b2

It is often difficult to find a suitable role for White＇s dark squared bishop in the Benko Mainline．Here there are no such problems： the bishop is able to contest the al－h8 diagonal with its opposite number on g7．You only need recall that Black goes to the trouble of the move order I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6！to keep the white bishop out of b2 to appreciate that this is annoying for Black．


## 15．．．Qbd7

Nonetheless，White＇s strategy hasn＇t been entirely without drawbacks：the pawn on b3，which supports a4，guards c4 and blocks the $b$－file，can be targeted．The most direct way to do this was with 15．．．c4！？which can lead to flabbergasting complications．After 16 b4 White has connected passed pawns， but it will be no peaceful stroll up the board as $16 \ldots$ ．．．b7 leaves both a 4 and d5 hanging．Now Grandmaster Igor Stohl in ChessBase Magazine gives the following hair raising variation： 17


 fact this just looks bad for White，e．g． 24 \＆xf6 \＆xf6 25 乌d5 c3 26 0xf6＋exf6．With the knight offside on h4，the black pieces
are far better coordinated to help or hinder a passed pawn．
 26 \＃cl but doesn＇t dare to give a definite verdict－White＇s passed pawns are fearsome but Black is two pieces up at the moment！

Although at first glance it looks insane for White to pass up the chance of creating passed pawns with $16 \mathrm{b4}$ ，I would suggest 16
 some edge and avoids brain ache．It is logical in that it takes advantage of a drawback to 15．．．c4：namely it has weakened the d4 square．If Black does nothing active White can play $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{d}$ and Qc6 when his knight dominates the centre．Play could go
 appears okay for Black but White can probe a little for the initiative rather than accept the offer immediately，for example with 20 昷 $\mathbf{c} 3$ ！？

## 16昷al！



This simple move crushes Black＇s hopes of freeing his queenside pieces from the pawn bind as $16 \ldots \mathrm{c} 4$ ？is useless after $17 \mathrm{b4}$ ．

## 

臤5？！ 23 皿xe5 dxe5 24 d 6 is very dangerous for Black－Stohl．

## 19 \＆f1 Qe8

Stohl criticises this retreat and suggests that Black can free himself with 19．．．c4 20 bxc4 \＆xc4 when the threat of $20 .$. ．${ }^{\text {\＆}}$ b3 gives Black plenty of play．It seems strange that Topalov would pass up such a chance to free his game．Sure enough，it is all an illusion as White can play much more strongly with 20 b4！when $20 \ldots \pm x b 4$ falls for the interference move $21 \triangleq \mathrm{~b} 5$ ！

Therefore the chance for Black to play actively has gone and he has to defend for the rest of the game against the rising positional pressure．

##  シb4 25 是xa6 幽xa6 26 Qc4

White can enjoy life as he has managed to exchange dark squared bishops and the c4 square is firmly in his hands．The rest of the game is cat and mouse until Piket finally decides to finish things off with a centre break through．Here are the remaining moves：

This was a polished positional display by the Dutch Grandmaster．

## A．Barsor White

S．Mannion Black
Port Erin 2003

I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 ©c3 §xa6 7 g3


Black retreats his bishop，hoping with the positional threat of 12．．．\＆f5 to provoke White into playing 12 e 4 －whereupon the bishop will return to a6 again and suddenly enjoy an open diagonal where it prevents White from castling．This is an extreme example of the general rule that White should be very circumspect about advancing his e－pawn when he has fianchettoed on g 2 ．

## 12 ©h4！



Unlucky Black．White stops 12 ．．．$\AA f 5$ in the most efficient way and also adds to the defence of d 5 by uncovering the bishop on g2．As will be seen next move，Barsov also has an aggressive idea in mind．

## 12．．．h6

The only plan available to Black is to persevere with the idea of \＆f5 by chasing the knight from h4 with 13．．．g5．

## 13 we2！

Again thwarting 9．f5，as 13．．g5？ 14 乌f5 would be horrible for Black．

## 13．．．豊d7



14 f4！

A strong opening novelty is often startling in its simplicity．White prevents 14．．．g5 and prepares to hammer through with the pawns on the kingside．Why didn＇t anyone think of this before？
 as usual in the Benko Black is unafraid of the exchange of queens－in fact he welcomes it as insurance against being mated．Here White would have difficulty holding onto the d5 pawn after 16 霛xf5 \＆xf5．Instead，
［a］ 16 e4 䒼g6 17 0－0 0－0 18 witd2 Qg4！Black clears the way for counterplay along the $f$ file．At the same time he avoids being
 Q16 23 Ee2 $\mathrm{Eaf8}$ and Black managed to win by direct attack after some poor defending by White in M．Wunnink－
E．Wiersma，Amsterdam 2002.
［b］However，in a later game Epishin came up with the sly improvement 16 䡤dI！which doesn＇t expose a pawn on e4 to be used as a hook for the f7－f5 pawn advance by Black．This makes it far harder for Black to generate counterplay along the
f file．16．．．0－0 17 0－0 ${ }^{\text {wigeg }}$ ．Black can＇t capture on d 5 as if

 contemplating desperate queen sacrifices．White＇s queenside play came up trumps in the game after 18 a4 金f5 $19 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}$ 焂h5
 25 a5 etc．in V．Epishin－R．Piantoni，Bratto 2002.

## 

Here 15．．． Dbxd5？drops a piece to 16 ©xd5，but now the $\mathrm{d} 5^{2}$ pawn must be defended again．


## 16 wivd2！

As always White is keen to avoid 16 e4？which would open up all the squares in his centre－Black＇s best reply would be 16．．．\＆a6 to prevent White from castling．

16．．．2e8？
An unfortunate plan that removes the knight from the defence of the king．Perhaps Black should have risked everything on a counter attack with $16 . .$. e6 17 dxe6 fxe6 18 \＆xb7 wixb7 $190-0$ 0 g 4 ．He has loose pawns all over the place，but perhaps the Goddess of dynamism will favour him．

## 17 0－0 ©c7 18 f5 g5



19 f6！

A decisive breakthrough．

## 19．．．exf6 20 Qr5 ©e8 21 h4 wivi 22 Qe4

Now Black has the worst bishop in the history of the Benko Gambit．
 ゆg8 27 Og5 1－0

These two games give us a good idea of the dangers Black faces in this variation．

M．Drasko White
D．Pikula Black
Novi Sad 2000

I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 気c3 \＆xa6 7 g3


Black immediately mobilises his knight for queenside action and by uncovering an attack on c3 prevents $\mathbf{1 2} \mathbf{b 3}$ in the most direct manner possible．


## 12 Eel

There is an alternative method of development： 12 Wive2 © 13 EdI Qb6 14 e4，but by putting the rook on dl White has in effect renounced playing for a centre breakthrough with e4－e5． This makes things easier for Black，for example 14．．． 2 b 515
 active position in P．Tregubov－T．Gharamian，Clichy 2004．White now played 18 a4？！but this allowed Black to break out with
 endgame holds no fears for Black 22 誛xa6 $\Xi_{x a 6} 23$ \＆fl $\Xi_{a l} 24$ Exal etc．Without the help of queens or rooks the white minor pieces can＇t put significant pressure on any point in the black pawn structure．

12．．．今c4

An active move but it has the downside that the bishop takes away the $c 4$ square from the knight．

Now the question is whether Black can get enough counterplay by exploiting the hole on b3．


## 13．．．2c7 14 e4 \＃b8 15 \＄． $\mathbf{4} 4$ \＃b6

Black wants to double rooks against b2．Instead，15．．．ت̈b7 would keep open the b6 square for the knight，but it would leave d6 vulnerable and Black would risk being gunned down by e4－e5， with a sudden attack on the rook on b7．

## 16 昷h3 全b3

The bishop gets in the way of an attack on b2 and is a target on b3；but on the other hand it is a nuisance for White，not least because it restrains White in the centre－ 17 e 5 would drop the d5 pawn．

## 17 斯c1 Df6 18 空h6

The exchange of dark squared bishops weakens the black king＇s defences and removes Black＇s dynamic ideas along the dark square diagonal．Hence it is a fine preparatory move before White loosens himself with f 2 － f 4 and e4－e5．

Stopping 21．．．』b5．

## 13．．． $2 \mathrm{~d} 722 \mathrm{f4}$－6b7 23 亿xb3

White has run out of useful preparatory moves and so makes his bid to break through in the centre．



## 28 2b5

The exchange of knights helps Black．With the black queen so far away from the kingside direct attacking play with 28 f5！？ looks dangerous，for example 28．．．玉्cb7 29 fxg6 hxg6 30 書f4 or


## 

Now Black has enough counterplay in view of White＇s open king．The remaining moves were

 \＄f8 43 蓸 $c 41 / 2-1 / 2$

## V．Kramnik White

## L．Van Wely Black

Amber Blindfold Monte Carlo 2003

##  

The queen move allows the rook on $f 8$ to go to b8，and prevents White from developing with b2－b3 and I．b2．

## 



## 13．．． 0 g 4

The alternative 13．．． De8 $^{2}$ was played in Kramnik－Topalov－see the Tricks and Traps chapter．

Perhaps the best idea is the simple queen retreat $13 \ldots . . \mathrm{W} \mathrm{d} 8$ ．This gets the queen out of the way so that Black can play the manoeuvre $\sum_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{b}$ and $\sum_{\mathrm{c}}$ without worrying about her becoming trapped，or at least a victim of a discovered attack by the bishop on d2．Play could go 14 登fd 1 Db6 15 e4 $4 c 416$皿cl 栫a5 the queen bounces back to a 5 now that the white bishop has left d2． 17 b3 ©g4 18 Da4 \＆b5 and Black had plenty of counterplay in V．Malakhatko－A．Rakhmangulov，Alushta 2001. However，White doesn＇t have to be so obliging in letting the
black knight get to c4－14b3 ©b6 15 ©h4 would keep White＇s typical edge in this line．

Instead Van Wely hopes to free his game with a piece exchange， but Kramnik was able to use the black knight that appears on e5 as a target for his centre pawn advances：

## 14 a4 Dge5 15 0xe5 Oxe5 16 b3

You should be familiar with this pawn routine by now．No doubt Kramnik could play it with his eyes closed，as was indeed the case here as it was a Blindfold game！

## 

Now Black is ready to generate counterplay with 19．．．c4．
19 Da2！
．．．which Kramnik prevents with this retreat，preparing to answer 19．．．c4 with 20 b4．

## 19．．．䨖c8 20 f4



Having blocked Black＇s counterplay，Kramnik begins to assert himself in the centre．

## 

If instead 23 bxa4 $\Psi_{b 2} 24 \Psi_{x b 2} \Psi_{\times b 2}$ regains the piece with advantage．Unfortunately for the Dutch Grandmaster，White can just plough right ahead in the centre．

## 



White has an optimum centre build up and can break through before Black can land a counterblow on the a－file．

## 26 包 4 官b7

White would have dangerous threats after $26 \ldots . . \mathrm{dxe} 527 \mathrm{~d} 6$ ．

Kramnik cashes in on his central pressure by entering an endgame a pawn up．He won after


空d4＋f6 40 f5！1－0

When facing the fianchetto variation Black must be prepared to wait patiently and take his chances．Sooner or later White is going to have to commit himself to pawn advances in the centre or on the queenside，and if Black has kept his pieces well coordinated he will be ready to take advantage of the open lines．

The fianchetto variation doesn＇t win by force for White，but at the highest echelons of chess it has been scoring well．This is because players of that level know how to play perfect defensive chess as White for 20 or so moves（the word＇grovel＇flashed through my mind for some reason）and then suddenly switch to scintillating attacking play．Very few players can copy this style of play without going wrong at some point－they will either get the balance of attacking and defensive moves wrong，or lose control by making tactical oversights or strategical errors． Therefore，unless you are playing Kramnik tomorrow at Linares you don＇t have to be afraid of the fianchetto variation．

If $40 \ldots \mathrm{gxf5} 41$ Df4．

## Benko Tricks and Traps

You will find many tactical combinations scattered throughout this book. Indeed almost every Benko triumph for Black seems to be rubber-stamped with $\Xi \times b 2$ ! as the culmination of a successful queenside strategy. In the puzzle chapter you will get the chance to sharpen your tactical vision in the Benko - and I hope I'm not giving the game away if I reveal that, yes, that old war-horse $\mathbb{Z}$ b2 will be found galloping around in there. In the present chapter I want to think about what can be described as strategical or even psychological traps.

In the early days White seemed to feel it was somehow his duty to punish Black for giving up the pawn. This took two distinct forms: either he would rush into an unsupported central advance with moves like $\mathrm{f} 2-\mathrm{f} 4$ and e4-e5; or he would go into an endgame convinced he was better, making rash pawn moves on the queenside and amazingly tortuous manoeurres with his pieces. In either case, playing through early Benko games gives a distinct impression of watching lemmings jumping off a cliff.

In effect. White was trapped by his false evaluation of the gambit. After Black's crushing score with the Benko at Skopje in 1973 he began to treat it more respectfully and it ceased to be a guaranteed way for a Grandmaster to pay the rent.

Even so, White still finds it hard to accept that the endgame can be dangerous for him.

## Trap One

## Underestimating the danger of the Benko Endgame

D.Gurevich White
L.Alburt Black

Hastings 1983


White still has an extra pawn. All the pawns are on the same side of the board and Black has no passed pawn. White even has the next move. It is easy to concede that the active black rook gives Black enough for the pawn, but winning chances? It seems far fetched, but let's look at what happened:

28 h 4 ?
This will stop the bishop being trapped with g6-g5 - or so White thinks. An example of the danger White faces is that if 28 \&cl Ed3 $29 \Psi_{f l} \Xi_{c} \mathbf{2}$ wins a piece.

Here Alburt says that White should bail out with 28 \&e3, allowing 28... Vxe $^{2}$ when Black has some advantage. Of course it would be difficult for White to hand back the pawn and admit he was slightly worse. He is trapped by the belief that he only has to be careful and the extra pawn will see him through.
28...ゆd3! 29 \#fl $27 \mathrm{c5}$

The black knights have come to life, tying down the white rook and confronting White with a problem typical of the Benko endgame: how to defend the e4 pawn?

## 30 ©d2 g5! 31 تdI ©a4!

Now White can only shield the base of his pawn chain on $\mathbf{f} \mathbf{2}$ by giving up a piece.

## 32 hxg 5 2c3

The coordination between the white pieces has been ruined by the highly dexterous black pieces.

## 

This prevents White ever activating his rook with $\mathrm{Ma}_{\mathrm{a}}$.

## 35 fxe7 $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ f7



At the moment White has as many as four pawns for the piece, but the problem pawn on e4 is indefensible. The nimble black knights eventually allowed Black to pick off more pawns, and he ground out a win in a long endgame after 36 \&e3 $\sum x e 437 \mathrm{II} \mathrm{dl}$ Qe5.

## Trap two

## Being provoked into over-active play

These days the strongest players have learnt as White the importance of patience. They defend carefully and await their chances. In effect, like a martial arts expert, they use the power of Black against himself: 'You want to attack me? Go ahead, but it will be on my terms'.

In the following game we see World Champion Vladimir Kramnik in the role of a shrewd psychologist. He is playing Veselin Topalov, one of the most aggressive players of the modern chess world. Rather than attack from the outset Kramnik quietly strengthens his game and waits for the Bulgarian Grandmaster to play a loosening move. Topalov is defeated solely because he tries to 'make it interesting' - in other words he is trapped by his desire to play a fighting game.

## V.Kramnik White

V.Topalov Black

Wijk aan Zee 2003

I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 Qc3 \&xa6 7 g3
 13 曹c2 ©e8 14 \#fel 気c7

White's next two moves are characteristic of this variation. He isn't going to rush into direct action.

## 15 a4! wilib6 16 b3

There is something about the trench warfare aspect of this type of strategy that seems to upset Benko players. Topalov is straining at the leash and sees the chance to 'make it interesting' with

## 16．．．全xc3？

．．．which gives up his star piece in order to conquer d5． In principle this is a valid idea，but in this specific instance it can be refuted tactically by White＇s 18 move．He should continue to wait．

## 17 \＆xc3 $2 x d 5$



## 18 De5！

When he gave up his bishop，Topalor wasn＇t afraid of being mated along the dark diagonal，or at least he was prepared to take the risk for the sake of counterplay；but Kramnik finds a way to return the bishop pair in order to demolish Black＇s centre and force him into an ugly endgame．

## 

If you put the black pawn on e5 back on d6 you will that Black has a fine position．But as things stand the c5 pawn is a serious weakness．Topalov was unable to hold the endgame against Kramnik＇s relentless positional play after 22．．．f6 23 类e4！（but not 23 喽xc5 as a4 will drop after the exchange on c5） 23 ．．．dg 7



Despite this defeat，we should applaud Topalov for his spirit of enterprise．He was willing to avoid routine，stereotyped thinking in the pursuit of counterplay，which is a quality which has made him one of the best players in the world．Occasionally he may fail－and how many players succeed against Kramnik with Black？ －but he will also win a lot of games．

## Trap Three

## A solid pawn structure leads to complacency

With his active style of play Topalov avoids a serious psychological weakness，which costs Black countless games in the Benko；that is，being unwilling to compromise the solidity of the pawn structure or make any other concession for the sake of counterplay．This aversion to messing things up with pawn breaks such as e7－e6 is perfectly understandable．

After all，the first thing we learn when we study the Benko is that Black＇s model strategy is based on keeping a solid chain of pawns on the dark squares and utilising the open $a$ and $b$ files for counterplay．


Such a strategy I would call a＇pawn structure＇strategy：the emphasis is counterattacking from a solid pawn base． In an archetypal Benko middlegame after I d4 ©f6 $2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c5} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5$ b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 ©c3 \＆xa6 Black doesn＇t seek activity
in the centre，and is often dismayed if White breaks things up there with e4－e5．Certainly Black considers playing pawn moves such as c5－c4 and e7－e6 or 77－f5，but only in the right circumstances，normally after the black pieces have been deployed on the queenside and the initiative has been gained． With two open files on the queenside，Black doesn＇t want or need things to get messy in the centre．He is happy with the status quo there．

So far so good．Everything is on the whole fine if White plays 5 bxa6 and follows standard lines．But－and this is the positional trap we are talking about－such a strategy can be catastrophic in other，almost identical circumstances．

## B．Gulko White <br> R．Vaganian Black <br> Riga 1995

## I d4 Df6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 थ1 3 d6？！

More active are 4．．．g6 or 4．．．§b7－the game move commits Black to a mainline $4 \mathrm{exb5}$ a6 type set up but with fewer chances of counterplay．

##  II idd 嵝6 120



Black has played all the standard Benko moves：a kingside fianchetto and d7－d6，皿a6 and Wb6．But now what？Vaganian couldn＇t find anything better than

## 12．．． $9 \times b 513$ axb5

but the exchange of bishops has come under far less favourable circumstances than normal：White hasn＇t been prevented from castling and the pawn on b5 blocks any counterplay against b2． Nor does Black have undisputed control of the a－file with a pawn on a2 in his sights．If Black could somehow arrange the manoeuvre ©e8－Nc7 and $0 \times b 5$ he would have the last laugh， but it proves unfeasible．The game continued

## 13．．．Qbd7 14 崰e2 ジfb8

Black＇s pieces are all dressed up but with nowhere to go on the queenside．Therefore Gulko decides it is the moment to crack open the centre：


## 15 e5！

Usually before playing such a move White would be feverishly calculating possible refuting combinations by Black aimed at b2 or c3，especially when facing a maverick tactical player like Vaganian．But here the pawn on b5 is doing such a tremendous
job in quietening things along the $b$ file that White has nothing to fear．

##  Ee8 $20 \mathrm{~d} 6!$

A solid passed pawn up and pressure along the e－file，what more could White ask for？Well，in fact Gulko wants to inflict another structural weakness on Black and gets his wish as


## 21 wivc5 モc8 22 断b4 exd6 23 \＆．f4 d5 24 b6

Black has avoided losing more material but the $b$ pawn is unstoppable．

## 

The danger of ending up in a solid but prospectless position is especially great when White declines the second pawn with $5 \mathrm{bb}, 5 \mathrm{f}$ or 5 e3．If Black reacts passively，just setting up a pretty pawn structure as above，he will more often than not find he is suffering from lack of piece play．

## A．Shabalov White

J．Fedorowicz Black
Seattle 2003

## I d4 \＆f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 b6 需xb6

5 ．．．e6 is more aggressive．
6 Qc3 g6 7 e4 d6 8 थf

Black should wait until White plays a2－a4 before committing himself to this loosening advance，e．g． $10 . .$. Dbd7｜ $10-0$ Wlc7 12 a 4 m 8 when Black has counterplay on the b file，perhaps with ${ }^{6} 4$ at some point．

## $118 c 4$ 数d8

The queen is worse placed here than on c7 where it helps to restrain e4－e5．Evidently Black was afraid of the response $\mathrm{Db}_{\mathrm{b}}$ at some point，which is another black mark against his IOth move．

## 12 0－0 亿bd7

There is nothing intrinsically wrong with Black＇s position：he has developed his pieces，castled his king into safety，has a nice and sound pawn structure and isn＇t even a pawn down．But what can he do？

Imagine if the a5 pawn fell off the board．Then not only would the rook have the open file to attack a2 but a strategical plan appears for Black：Qb6，今d7 and then ©a4，challenging the white knight on c3．Alas，with the pawn on a5 putting the knight on a4 would just drop a piece．


Seeing that Black can do nothing constructive，Shabalov prepares the breakthrough e4－e5 under optimum conditions．

An important facet of strategy is preventing your opponent＇s pieces from functioning properly and so he played

## 13 金g5！

after which the indirect attack on e7 will interfere with the coordination of Black＇s pieces．

13．．．a4？！


Black would be ecstatic if he could swap off this pawn： 14 位4？？xe4 when White can no longer attack with e4－e5． Nevertheless，although it is easy to sympathise with Black＇s wish to play actively，it was perhaps best to be satisfied with 13．．．थb6 as the pawn on a4 eventually drops off in a highly unfavourable manner．

## 14 䕎c2！

The queen bolsters e4，defends b2 against future attack，keeps an eye on a4 and clears the way for $\mathrm{\Xi ael}^{\mathrm{I}}$ in support of e4－e5．

## 14．．．©b6 15 気 3 ！


when not only does Black have his beloved open files on the queenside，but also the exchange of knights has eased the congestion in his camp．

## 15．．．\＆a6 16 \＆xa6 E®xa6 17 f4

Black has failed to make any dent in White＇s queenside pawn structure．He therefore has no tactical counterchances to distract White from his central build up．

## 17．．．witbs 18 dit！



A wise precaution．In the Benko White always has to watch out for tactics after he has played the loosening move f2－f4， especially with his king on gI．Out of the blue a combination can strike him down：here for example it might be based on a future \＆d4 or ll a7 followed by c5－c4．As Black is thrashing around on the queenside，with no obvious strategical plan in mind，White can afford this quiet move to cut out any danger．

## 

Shabalov completes his preparations for e4－e5 by defending the d5 pawn again：if immediately 20 e5？Dfxd5 drops a pawn．

Not only has Black failed to gain any queenside counterplay but he has also been unable to prevent e4－e5 either directly or indirectly．

## 21 e5 De8



Now White has to be accurate as there is the positional threat of $22 \ldots \mathrm{f} 6$ ！ 23 exf6 exf6 24 \＆h4 f5！when the bishop on g7 comes alive and Black controls the e4 square．

## 22 臽h4！

White is in no hurry．Remember that strategy depends on preventing your opponent playing good moves－the original idea behind $13 \stackrel{\AA}{\mathrm{~g}} 5$ ！

22．．．f6

This turns out badly but Black is short of good moves．The rook on f 8 is shut in and if $22 \ldots$ c 7 ？ 23 exe7，which means that in the long term Black has no way to resist White＇s pressure along the $e$ file．

23 exf6 exf6


24 5！
Preventing the freeing move 24．．．f5－compare the note to 21．．．De8．

## 

and not 27 玉xe7？？曹xfl mate．

##  1－0

Black is only the Benko pawn down，but his pieces are ruinously passive and he can＇t hold onto the 16 pawn for long． Furthermore in view of the threat of 32 fxg 6 hxg 6 ？！ $33 \mathrm{Z} 4+$ his best move is $32 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ ，but this is too ugly to contemplate．

In the Shabalov and Gulko games above we saw once again the strength of White＇s central breakthrough with e4－e5．Note that in both cases it was combined with action on the queenside－ Gulko maintained a passed pawn，Shabalov created one as the culmination of his strategy．

Fedorowicz and Vaganian are highly formidable names in the history of the Benko Gambit．Why then did they lose in such dismal style？This may seem paradoxical，but they lost because they knew too much：they knew where all the pieces belonged
for Black in the mainline Benko and put them on these squares in almost identical variations．These lines are NOT the same as the Benko 5 bxa6 variation－you can＇t treat them in that style． Black＇s motto in the Benko should be＇Piece activity first，pawn structure second！＇

## Trap Four

## Black believes the queenside belongs to him

It is very easy for Black to develop the prejudice that in the Benko the queenside is exclusively his territory．Such a way of thinking is perhaps permissable after 5 bxa6 as Black really does dominate the queenside：White takes a defensive attitude there and looks for his chances in the centre or on the kingside． However，in other situations this way of thinking can lead to disaster．

## M．Gurevich White

A．Miles Black
Manila 1990

The opening moves were

## I d4 ©f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 f3 axb5

In the previous chapter we recommend the active 5．．．e6 here， already planning a queen sacrifice．．．

## 

A serious mistake．Instead 8．．．d6 9 Qc4 票a7 or 9．．． both playable for Black，if a little passive．

## 9 2c4 新c7 10 2h3 d6



Black has played in＇typical＇Benko style：piece activity on the queenside including the normal move 直a6；pawns have been placed on dark squares to form the standard type of centre；and on the kingside he is itching to play $\mathrm{g7}$－g6 and － g 7 to complete the archetypal Benko set up．And all this without having to give up a pawn！Surely Black is doing very well here？

In fact the word＇disaster＇springs to mind．Sure enough，Black has played \＆a6 but the point of this move is supposed to be to free his game by exchanging light－squared bishops．With the trick 8 Da3！and 9 ec4 White has made 8．．．ila6 look foolish： Black will be more or less compelled to play \＆xc4，but strategically speaking this is bad exchange for him as the white bishop pair will be very strong．

Gurevich cut through any assumptlons Black might have had about controlling the queenside with

## II a3！

Now 12 axb4 is a threat，not only picking up a pawn but also putting the bishop on 16 in a pin and clearing the way for the bishop on d 2 to join in the attack with ＠xb4．Besides this，Black has to worry about the consequences of a sudden ${ }^{\mathbf{w}} \mathrm{l}$ a4＋．It is clear now that Black is way behind in development and will be
outgunned on the queenside：he can＇t keep things blocked long enough to mobilise his pieces．He can only buy off the direct attack by making the enormous positional concession．．．

## 1 1．．． $8 \times 4$

which not only grants White the bishop pair but develops the bishop to $c 4$ without any loss of time．

## 12 \＆xc4 bxa3 13 』xa3 シxa3 14 bxa3



This position is a perfect illustration of the inadequacy of reaching an assessment of a position based on static features such as pawn structure．

If everything else were equal then the pawn structure would favour Black－he has a protected passed on c5 while the a3 is isolated．But everything isn＇t equal：the pawn on a3 has very powerful friends in the shape of the white bishop pair and a white rook．The a3 pawn is far from being a weakling．

## 14．．．g6 I5 wita4＋！

White forces off the queens so that a major obstacle to the advance of his passed pawn is removed．

## 

The king stays in the centre where if necessary it can help stop the advance of the c5 pawn－there was no reason at all to whisk it away to gl ．

## 18．．．今g719 ジbl 0－0

In contrast the black king is unable to play an active role against the a3 pawn as $19 \ldots \$ \mathrm{~d} 720 \Xi_{b} 7+$ would be gruesome．

## 20 Eb7

White＇s pieces now dominate the queenside．Already there is no


## 20．．．Ec8 21 a4！

Not 21 Exe7？©bd7 enclosing the rook．But now the trapping mechanism has to be dismantled as Black can＇t let the a－pawn advance unimpeded．

21．．．We8 22 Exe7 Ec7 23 \＆a5 Dba6


24 Ed7！1－0

Not only attacking d6 but also clearing the way for 25 Qg5 attacking 77 （but not immediately 24 ©g5？\＆f6）．This is too much to bear and so Black resigned．

Incidentally，this and the Gulko game above remind us that White＇s queenside pawns do sometimes come up trumps－ Gurevich pawn was all－powerful on the a－file，Gulko＇s pawn queened on the b－file．Black has to keep in mind that White＇s pawns are a threat as well as a target．In particular if he gives the a－pawn too much freedom it might go rushing down the board before he can stop it．

## Trap Five

## Carrying out a routine plan that ignores tactics

It isn＇t enough to learn all the standard ideas given in the Strategy chapter and then play them come what may．In the following game Black employs all the normal Benko moves such as 颜b6，シ̈fb8 and the knight manoeuvre 2e8－c7－b5－and it leads to disaster．

B．Avrukh White
W．Hendriks Black
Wijk aan Zee 2000
I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 Qc3 \＆xa6 7 g 3


Black prepares $\sum \mathrm{b} 5$ to get rid of the white knight on c3． However 12．．．Qc4 was preferable，as given in the previous chapter．In contrast to Black＇s standard knight manoeurre this would be a concrete reaction to the unique situation on the chess board：it is designed to provoke 13 a 3 when a hole appears on b3．

13 e4 分b5 14 De2 数b6

A natural square for the queen in the Benko mainline，but a glance should have been enough to see that here it is poorly placed opposite the rook on bl．

## 15定e3！5imb



Black calmly continues his build up，even though it is apparent that b2－b4 will cause a lot of pain in view of the pin on the black queen．In some ways this is a bold and imaginative decision， since as long as Black doesn＇t get murdered by a b2－b4 pawn stab there is nothing that can hurt him．Unfortunately Grandmaster Avrukh sees through all the tactical traps．

16 a4！

If 16 b 4 ？§a3 17 玉b3？！£c4 wins material for Black．

## 16．．． $2 \mathrm{c} 717 \mathrm{b4}$

With the threat of 18 b5，when the passed pawns crush Black．

## 17．．．今xe2 18 世xe2 تxa4

Now it appears that the b4 pawn is going to drop off as if 19 bxa5 Wexbl，but Avrukh can exploit some tactics to keep a clear plus．


19．．．Qe5？ 20 Dd2！

Adding a defender to bl and so threatening $21 \mathrm{bxc5}$ ．At the same time 21 f4，trapping the knight，is on the cards．White won after

## 

 wild3 etc．If instead 19．．．乌f6 Avrukh gives the following variation leading




## Trap Six

## Copying a sharp idea without knowing the essential details

A common trap is to be impressed by a great win you see in print and decide to copy the victor＇s moves without being aware of all the subtleties behind his play．

M．Stojanovic White
B．Vuckovic Black
Valjevo 2000
｜d4 备f 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 ©c3 \＆xa6 7 e4
 13 h 3 数a8 14 ing h6 15 id2 e6 16 dxe6 fxe6


In this sharp position experience has taught us that against almost any sensible move Black has the excellent preventive reply 17．．．g5！stopping White playing ©h4 or ©f4．

Here is an example of the kind of attack Black can achieve after careless play by White．

17 䊕c2 g5！ 18 Qb5？（a useless decentralisation－ $18 \mathrm{a} 4!?$ intending $19 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ was better） $18 \ldots . . \mathrm{t}$ 需b7 $19 \mathrm{a4} \mathrm{~d} 5$ ！The second wave of Black＇s attack will break through to f3． 20 exd5 exd5 21全c3（if 21 Ee7 De4 intending \＃af6 looks very strong．） 21 ．．．d4 22 金d2 0 d 523 豊e4 $\mathrm{mafl}_{\text {af }}$ ！the rook sweeps across the board
 Qde $3+$ ！A terrible tactical blow that wins a piece by force． 27



Now imagine if you played through this game fast and，greatly admiring Black＇s plan of attack，you made a mental note to play
like this the next time you faced the Benko mainline．It is probable you would remember the basic mechanics of Black＇s attack such as w ：a8，the pawn breaks e7－e6 and d6－d5，and the striking rook manoeuvre ${ }^{\boldsymbol{Z}}$ a6－f6．However，the＇trifling＇pawn move $17 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ is much less likely to stay in your long term memory，as it doesn＇t make as strong an impression as the dynamic attacking moves．Therefore it could easily be omitted， when the game might go as follows：


## 17 \＄gl！？d5？ 18 exd5 exd5 19 ehh4！

Thanks to the absence of a pawn on $\mathrm{g5}$ ，White can counterattack against the weak pawn on g6．Black was quickly trounced in the game P．Haba－L．Riemersma，Bundesliga 1996.

## 

断xd6＋\＄g8 29 包xh6＋1－0

After 17 gl Black should play 17．．．g5！．If White then tries to split up his pawns with 18 e5 then 18．．．Sd5！looks very strong －the threat is $19 \ldots .0 \times 3$ winning a piece as f 3 will be under double attack．

If you plan to play a sharp，double－edged opening you have to be aware of all the little details，including inconspicuous moves like 17．．．g5．In launching an attack on the centre with e7－e6 in the Benko Mainline you are playing for higher stakes than if you settled for queenside pressure．In a quiet position，things will probably not change much if you make a slight imprecision－say for example you put your queen on c7 when she would have been better on b6．There is no similar＇forgiveness＇in a tactical fight after e7－e6．

In the same way，the plan of e7－e6 itself can be inappropriate in a given situation．

Z．Gyimesi White
V．Stamenkov Black
Nova Gorica 2004

## I d4 乌f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 Qc3 \＆xa6 7 e4  



Here rather than pursue a policy of queenside pressure， Stamenkov decided to go for broke in the centre with．．．

15．．．e6？！

As always this is an enterprising，interesting idea－but it simply doesn＇t work here．

## 16 dxe6 fxe6 17 9．b2！

The fact that the bishop can go directly to b2 will destroy Black＇s attack．

## 



The position is very similar to that reached after 20 moves in the Stojanovic－Vuckovic encounter given above．In that game 21 घe7 would have failed to 21 ．．．De4 as the white bishop was on $\mathbf{d 2}$ ．Here with the white bishop on b2，21．．．De4 would lose at once as $\mathbf{g 7}$ drops．As so often，a slight change in set up can make all the difference in a sharp position．

## 

Now Black to move would win with 23．．．Qge5，but as Benko hero David Bronstein once remarked，the most powerful weapon in chess is the right to move next！


## 24 ※xg7！

The demise of the Benko bishop means that White＇s attack gets in first．

## 24．．．\＆xg7 25 ゆbxd4 ゆde5

After 25．．．cxd4 26 歯xd4＋a curious position arises in which Black can interpose either knight on e5 or f 6 but White then captures the other one with his queen when he will emerge with at least three pawns for the exchange．For example if


The dust has cleared．White has three pawns and a knight for the rook，as well as two connected passed pawns．Now Black＇s pieces get into a further tangle and thanks to some nifty footwork by the white knights he drops more material．

## 

This forces a decisive simplification as the knight on b2 is trapped．

## 

And White won easily．

## Test Positions

There are three main ways for Black to try to win in the Benko:
a) applying pressure along the $b$ file, especially in the endgame
b) smashing up White's centre with e7-e6 or f7-f5
c) letting White self destruct through overpressing in the centre.

Here are three winning attempts for White:
a) over-running the centre with e4-e5
b) exploiting the extra pawn to win an endgame
c) attacking the black king while potential defenders are busy on the queenside.

If White plays e4-e5 the verdict might either be that he is 'overrunning the centre' or 'self-destructing by over-pressing in the centre', all because of a subtle strategical or tactical nuance hidden in the position. It's very easy to choose which description is appropriate with the advantage of hindsight, but it is very hard in a 'real' game!

Anyway here are some puzzles that give you a chance to test your understanding of the Benko. In some cases you have been asked 'what would you do if your opponent now played such-and-such a move?' This is a question you have to ask yourself during real games - tactical ideas have to be seen ahead, not just 'solved' once you arrive at the position. Of course, this also makes solving the puzzles a bit more difficult...Anyway have a go - and good luck!

## I.Stohl - K.Berg,

Tallinn 1986


Black to play

Is Black's pressure for his pawns decisive, strong, adequate or insufficient?

## S.Holm - A.Toran Albero

Skopje 1972


Black to play

A game from the famous Benko massacre at the Skopje Olympiad. Here Black played 23... . V d3 attacking the bishop on e3, whereupon White replied 24 Qdbl. Suggest a way for Black to continue his attack.

## L.Lengyel - L.Kaufman

Los Angeles 1974


White to play
As I remark elsewhere, Black mustn't lose his sense of danger. In some cases White's passed a-pawn can become a monster if it breaks free from its shackles. Here is a startling example from a Benko game of the 1970 s. How would you attempt to force the pawn through?

## A.Aleksandrov - K.Van der Weide

Saint Vincent 2000


Which of these statements would you agree with or think is closer to the truth if White played 17 e5 here?
'White is opening lines in the centre with his own king trapped on el by the black bishop on a6-it's bound to lead to disaster.'
'A great attacking move - Black's disorganised position is going to collapse on the kingside or in the centre, or both'.

## O.Averkin - L.Alburt

USSR 1974


## Black to play

Another great example of Lev Alburt's skill in the Benko. How can Black increase the pressure on the queenside?

[^2]
## D.Rajkovic - J.Fedorowicz

Brussels 1987


White decided to break up Black's centre with 18 e5 dxe5 19 Dxe5. What was the best response?

## V.Danilov - C.Navrotescu

Baile Tusnad 2001


The diagram position was reached after the moves I d4 4162

 came up with the idea 12 b4, when after 12...cxb4 13 will$\times$ b4 he had removed his queen from a vulnerable square. What had he overlooked?

## R.Almond - S.Palatnik

Hastings 1999


White played 20 \&xd4, after which he is two connected passed pawns up on the queenside. Therefore it is imperative for Black to find tactical resources to exploit his pressure along the $a$ and b files. How can this be done? WARNING - before you reach such a position as Black you must have something concrete in mind - otherwise you might as well resign.
K.Aseev - A.Khalifman

St Petersburg 1995


How can Black exploit his pressure on the queenside?

## C.Hoi - J.Hodgson

Copenhagen 1985


White has just played 20 we4. How would you carry on Black's attack?

## A.Goldin - A.Fominyh

Elista 1995


White has just played 27 Dc3. How should Black respond?

## J.Rowson - B.Vuckovic

Gibraltar 2004


White has just played 19 ©c6 attacking the e7 pawn. Should you defend it or is there something better?

## P.Nikolic - V.Topalov

Linares 1997


Among modern Grandmasters the arch-Benko killer is the Bosnian Predrag Nikolic. He has a patient, positional style that seems to work wonders against Benko 'hotheads'. However in the diagram above Topalov has played with great energy and has achieved an impressive build up on the queenside. Here Nikolic decided to kick the black knight from g4 with $\mathbf{2 2} \mathbf{h 3}$ - where should it go?

## Solutions

I.Stohl - K.Berg,

Tallinn 1986


The pressure was decisive after 22...Exc3! when Black won a piece due to the fork after 23 xc3 $2 \times d 5+$. Instead 22 ... $\sum_{\text {xc4 }}$ would be far inferior than the game move - White can reply 23 \&h3 attacking the rook on c8 and intending 24 \&e6 or simply 23 Exc4 $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\text {xc4 }} 24 \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\mathrm{e}}$. Black's pressure then looks little more than adequate.

Incidentally, White's last move before the diagram position had been 22 \&g2-fI? So it is no real surprise he missed that disaster was going to strike on $\mathbf{d 5}$ - after all, the pawn had been defended three times. He was expecting trouble on the $\mathbf{c 4}$ square and completely overlooked Klaus Berg's neat tactic.
S.Holm - A.Toran Albero

Skopje 1972

 Exb2, leaving White in desperate straits.
 splitting up White's centre pawns. 29 exf5 gxt5. Now the d5 pawn can be subjected to double attack by the black knights and is in the long term indefensible.

##  \$17 35 g4 ©b4 36 a6

White gives up the a-pawn just to stave off the loss of the d5 pawn a little longer.

 White gave up.

## L．Lengyel－L．Kaufman

Los Angeles 1974


## 35 \＆b4！！

this prevents the black rook getting back to the first rank to head off the pawn．

## 35．．．cxb4

White also wins after $35 . . . \mathbf{E x b}^{\mathrm{Eb}}$ as he queens with check： 36 登xb4 cxb4 37 a6 b3 38 a7 b2 39 a8＝䒼 + ．

## 36 a6 표 3

Or 36 ．．．${ }^{-}$a3 $37 \Xi_{x a 3} \mathrm{bxa} 33 \mathrm{a}$ and again the fact that it is check on a 8 wins．

## 37 a7 Ec8 38 Ёxb4！

White has no need to hurry to queen as the black rook isn＇t going anywhere．Instead the impulsive $38 \mathrm{a8}={ }^{\text {will }} \Xi_{\mathrm{xa}} 8$
 if indeed it is possible．

## 


Black resigned as the e7 pawn is going after $46 \ldots$ ．．．g7 47 玉e8 Cff 48 g5＋

Incidentally，I played both the protagonists Larry Kaufman and Bela Lengyel a couple of years ago and they are still playing great chess．That is one of the wonderful things about chess－there aren＇t many footballers or tennis players who are still going strong after a thirty or forty year career！

## A．Aleksandrov－K．Van der Weide

Saint Vincent 2000


If everything else was equal，then the white king would be a serious liability on el and White would try to keep the centre blocked．But Black has a poorly placed knight on c8，passive rooks and a serious structural weakness on g5．

In fact 19 e5！gives White a crushing advantage．
 castle kingside while remaining a sound pawn up，for example 21 ．．．exc4 22 bxc4 Exa5？ 23 选4 wins the exchange，while 22．．．新 $\mathrm{e} 5+23$ 乌e2 むd6 24 \＆c3 forces the exchange of queens with a winning endgame．

In the game Black tried 19．．．$勹$ h7，no doubt hoping for 20 exd6？ exd6 when he will have an attack down the e－file after $21 . . .{ }^{\text {Ee8＋}}$ ．

But White＇s attack got in first after
20 h4！g4 21 $2 g 5$ ！！©xg5
Black is also obliterated after 21 ．．．hxg5 22 hxg5 f5 23 gxf6．
 b4！

The white king may be in the centre，but all his pieces are working vey efficiently on the flanks．

## 

A pawn down and with all his pieces in a stranglehold，Black has had enough．

## O．Averkin－L．Alburt

USSR 1974


Black used the b3 square that White had so graciously bestowed upon him：

White is loathe to part with his queen as she is not only a staunch defender of the queenside，but her disappearance sounds the death knell on any kingside mating attack．Or at least I assume these were White＇s emotions．The game was played way back in 1974 and maybe Averkin thought－as many other players did at the time－that the endgame a pawn up would be at least okay for him？

## 21 是e4 書xc2 22 全xc2

It looks as if White has successfully bolstered his queenside，but Alburt found a startling combination：

## 22．．．Qxb2！！ 23 \＆xb2 \＆c4

The point：the rook on a2 dare not move as b2 would collapse， but this means that the white pieces have to set themselves up for the traditional ${ }^{\circ} \times 62$ ！tactic．

## 

White is still a pawn up but he can＇t prevent his pawn structure disintegrating．

 \＄xg2 定b2 0－1

## D.Rajkovic - J.Fedorowicz

Brussels 1987


Black has to react instantly as 20 ©c6 is looming. Therefore he counterattacked on the queenside with 19... $\int \times 62$ ! when 20 Exb2 \& E 5 would be highly unpleasant for White - all his pleces apart from the queen would be lined up invitingly along the black bishop's diagonal. And there would also be the small matter of the doomed pawn on d5. So White tried 20 wticis but was dismembered by a pin along his third rank:

## 

There was nothing to be done - all the white pieces were paralysed by the pin.

## 

White can't bail out with a rook for two pieces as Black threatened 25... 4 c3.

##  

## V.Danilov - C.Navrotescu

Baile Tusnad 200i


White shows complete contempt for the bishop on g7. Here 12 b4?? is a disastrous move as it uproots the pawn that defends the knight on c3, and opens the c file for the black queen to attack the knight. After 12...cxb4 13 潢xb4 ©d5!
 by $15 \ldots £ \times d 5$ costs him a plece. White can never afford to be complacent about the black bishop on g 7 .

## R.Almond - S.Palatnik

Hastings 1999

and Black eventually made his extra piece count.

Besides the pressure along the $a$ and $b$ files，it is important to notice that White is vulnerable along the diagonal a7－gl．

After 20 昷xd4？${ }^{\text {Exa4 }}$ ！is a strong tactical riposte，as if 21 bxa4
 $\mathbf{m x b}+$ wins all White＇s pieces，including the queen．So White must play 21 － $\mathbf{~ x g 7}$ ，which on the face of it looks winning as if 21 ．．．${ }^{\$} \times \mathrm{xg} 72$ bxa4 leaves White a lot of material ahead．But alas for him，Black has a way of combining a frontal attack on the a2 pawn with a check．．．

## 

The queen swoops into the centre of the board and attacks b3， e4 and f4．White＇s pawn centre is bound to collapse，but things are still tense as White can play for mate either on g7 or along the e file which will shortly be opened．Black has therefore to show great vigilance．

## 25 是h6 Qf6 26 曹a！音xe4！

Bold and best．The e4 pawn is far more valuable than the weakling on b3．In case you missed the point of Black＇s previous move，if $26 \ldots$ ．． $\mathbf{Q x} 4$ or $26 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 4$ there is a mate in one on g 7 ．

## 

Both players have weak back ranks，but the black pieces prove to be better coordinated．

## 30 ジc7

Black threatened 30 ．．．${ }^{\text {al }}$ ，which means that White has had to dilute the force of his attack down the e file by moving his rook to c 7 ，so that $30 \ldots \mathrm{ma}$ ？can be answered by $31 \mathrm{Ec}_{\mathrm{c}} 8$ and mates； but now the black knight is freed as it is no longer required to
stay on $\mathrm{f6}$ to rule out a mating combination with $\boldsymbol{\Xi} \mathrm{e} 8+$ ． This allows Black＇s mating combination to get in first or，more prosaically，the capture of the bishop on h6：

## 

If 32 wivf2 $\Xi_{a}$ I＋ 33 witgl עf2 mate．
32．．． $4 \times h 60-1$

K．Aseev－A．Khalifman
St Petersburg 1995


A chance to see Benko expert Khalifman in action．He began with 26．．．©xc3！Black is often loathe even to contemplate this exchange but here it is tactically strong as it not only creates a pin on the $b$ file by removing a defender of the rook on bl but also opens the way for an attack on e4．

Thus if 27 Exc3 $2 a 3$ ！wins the exchange．So White played 27 Uives xc in the game and duly lost after 27．．．乌a3！？（attacking both


This all looks very convincing，and give yourself a pat on the back if you found the idea of 27．．．乌a3．However，analysis later
revealed that White could have escaped the worst with the computer－like sequence of moves 28 黄xb4 $\mathbf{E x b 4} 29$ 企d2！ Ebb8（the point is that the black knight is trapped after
 $31 \AA$ cl wins for White as the knight is again trapped after
 with＇only＇a promising endgame for Black．Of course it would be extraordinarily difficult for even the best player in the world to discover this crafty sequence of defensive moves for White． However，objectively speaking it means that $26 \ldots$ ．．．xc3 was still the best move，but after 27 WIxc3 Black should settle for 27．．．Exa2 when he has greater winning chances through piling up on the b2 pawn．

C．Hoi－J．Hodgson
Copenhagen 1985


The white queen was forcibly ejected from e4 with $20 . . \pm$ a4！！ when 21 娄xa4 $0 x c 3$ left a4，e2 and 53 all hanging． 22 wivis Qxe2 is hopeless，so White tried giving up his queen，but he




## A．Goldin－A．Fominyh

Elista 1995


If $27 . . . \mathrm{bxc} 3$ ？ 28 玉xbB＋wins as White will even pick up the c 3
 Exa3 the threat of $29 \ldots$ ．．． d 4 ensures that Black will also pick up the knight for his queen．The game continued 29 类d3 \＃ba8！ （he mustn＇t hurry with $29 \ldots{ }^{(\# x} 3$ as 30 wivc3！turns the tables．）
 least okay，but now 32．．． $\mathbf{m a}$ ！will rip up the base of his pawn
 nominal equality in material，White is lost as the $g_{3}$ pawn can also be targeted．If both sides had another minor piece then things might be different，as with the help of an ally the queen might be able to puncture a hole in Black＇s pawn structure；but as things stand she is helpless．The rest of the game was agony for White：

## 

If White does nothing Black can play 39．．．巴 $\mathbf{c l}$ ， $40 . .$. es 5 and 41 ．．．．-c 3 winning the g 3 pawn．

今f6 +48 玉g g2 0－1

In the Benko Mainline, Black's position is particularly conducive to a positional queen sacrifice. This is because he has a rock solid pawn structure and a secure king - both crucial factors in blunting the power of the white queen. In such situations the only serious danger of Black losing is if White himself has a passed pawn.

## J.Rowson - B.Vuckovic

Gibraltar 2004


The best response is 19...c4!

If Black is the sort of player who ventures 3...b5 then he is going to be more than happy to offer the e-pawn in return for a tremendous passed pawn.

## 20 El

White decides he cannot afford the luxury of taking on e7 as he needs his knight to fight the queenside pressure.

## 

Over the next moves Black wears down White's resistance to the passed pawn.



A brilliant change of front. Black gives up the passed pawn in order to set up decisive threats on the kingside.

## 

With the irresistible double threat of 30 ... ${ }^{W} \times f 2+$ and 30 ...Exg3+ winning the white queen. The only way White can fight on is by giving up his queen.

##  Ee2 ${ }^{0} \times \mathbf{x} \mathbf{2 + 1}$

The tactics haven't finished. White loses a key pawn as if 35 Exf2 ${ }^{\mathbf{W}} \times \mathrm{xb} 4$ picks up either the knight or bishop. He tried 35 \$g2 but was eventually ground down after 35...id4 36 2c6 e5! 37 dxe6 fxe6 etc.

## P.Nikolic - V.Topalov

Linares 1997


A happy moment to end our discussion of the Benko Gambit.
 mate while otherwise he loses the queen. A combination out of the ordinary - normally White would have a bishop and not a knight on $\mathbf{g} 2$.

## Details

## A note on move order

The only important transposition of which you need to be aware is that in the mainline after 1 d 4 包 $62 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c5} 3 \mathrm{d5} \mathrm{b5} 4 \mathrm{cxb5}$ a6 5
 $0-011$ Q13 Qbd7 the moves 12 Eel and 13 h 3 or 12 h 3 and 13 \＃el are usually interchangeable．Black responds with his usual assortment of ideas，though check the details in the cases where White plays 12 Eel and makes do without h2－h3 in order to act quickly in the centre．

There are naturally other transpositions，e．g．after I d4 Qf6 $2 \mathrm{c4}$ c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 Qc3 $\AA \times \mathrm{a6} 7 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~d} 68$ \＆g2 Black has a choice between 8．．．थbd79 Qf3 \＆g7 and 8．．．气g79 थf3 Qbd7，but these don＇t tend to be of much importance．

 8 axb5 畨c79 9 【


6 需d3 6 e3！？g6 7 c3 著a5 8 Qbd2 Qxd2（8．．．今xd5！？ 9 合b3



 14 \＆e2 bxa4 15 0－0 \＆e7m Burmakin－Avrukh，Ubeda 2001 6．．．f5！

 15 f 3 e 516 e $4 \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{c} 6=$ Hubner－Topalov，Istanbul 2000） 13 ．．．d6 14
 19 e $40 / \pm$ Bocharov－Shinkevich，Tomsk 2001 7．．．c4！ 8 畨 d 4 wiva5 9 e3 е6 10 dxe6 dxe6 11 0－0－0 \＆d5！！－＋ 12 Qxe4 fxe4 13
 2000
（2）I d4 Qf6 2 Qf3 c5 3 d5 b5 4 昷g5 De4







 \＆g2 e6 18 0－0 \＆e7 19 Efdl $0-0 \mp 1 / 2-1 / 2$ Hebden－Hodgson，

 13 敉b3 c4 14 䉼d d6 15 a4 a6 16 axb5 axb5 17 Exa8 ixa8
 23 宸bl h5 24 h4 嵔c6 25 全g2 Qd3 26 Efl d5 27 b3 Ulic5 28 exd5 \＆xd5 29 bxc4 bxc4 30 Qg5 \＆xg2 31 \＄xg2 类d5＋ 32
 De5 0－1 Golod－Topalov，Bugojno 1999
（3）I d4 Df6 $2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c5} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{b5} 4$ e4？！ 4 f 3 bxc 4 （4．．．e6） 5 e4 d6



 g6 9 b4！cxb4 10 叐b2 6611 Qb3 畨xb6 12 h4！ 1 Mirkovic－ Nevednichy，Yugoslavia 19968 d6 Qc6 9 单xb5 类xb5 10 De2

 St Petersburg 1999



 b4 6 f3（6 \＆cl！？$\Delta b 2-b 3$ ，是b2．） 6 ．．．g6 9 Shikerov－janev，Bulgaria 19925 Qd2 e5！ 6 b3 d6 7 \＆b2 g6 8 g3 8 e41？金g7 9 ofd

 Skjoldborg，Copenhagen 2003
（5）I d4 Qf6 2 c 4 c 53 d 5 b 54 Qf3 4 Qd2！？bxc4 5 e4 c3！
 Philadelphia 2000．） 6 bxc3 g6 7 c 4 d 68 \＆b2 ifg79 是d3 0－0 10

 20 §g3


5 Qbd2 5 wive2 bxc4 6 e4 g6（6．．．e6！？ 7 dxe6 dxe6 8 e5 Qd5 9
 ゆe8 10 h4！d6 11 h5 dxe5 12 hag6 hag6 13 新e4！Qd6 14 tith4

 Conquest－Degraeve，Clichy 2001 5．．．bxc4 6 e4 Wivia5？6．．．e6！？ 7 dxe6 dxe6！ 8 e5 Qd5 9 Qxc4 全e7 10 a3 0－0 11 \＆d3 Qc6 12
 Qd4！$\mp$ Hoang Thanh Trang－T．Fogarasi，Budapest 20037 Exc4 d6
 13 e5！dxe5 14 Qxe5 \＆g7 15 Qxd7 dxyd7 16 \＆b5＋\＄d8 17金e6 कe7 18 Qb3 wild6 19 苗f4！1－0 Radjabov－Salmensuu， Torshavn 2000
（6）Id4 Qf6 $2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c5} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{b5} 4$ Qf3 g6




 Thanh Trang－Prusikhin，Budapest 20035 5．．．a6 6 宸 $c 26$ b6 数 $\times 66$


 Dbd7 12 Eel






部8＋


 hxg4 51 hxg ${ }^{2} \times \mathbf{x g} 4+52$ texg $\quad 1 / 2-1 / 2$ Bareev－Topalov， Sarajevo 2000
（7）I d4 ©f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 処 3 axb5 6 e4 6









 Fedorowicz，Amsterdam 1990）11．．f5 $12 \mathrm{f3} \mathrm{~b} 3+13$ df1 i．g7！？


 Qe2 Exal 29 Exal exe3 $^{2}+0-1$ Minzer－Fiorito，Buenos Aires











 Ivanchuk，Cap d＇Agde 1998











 26 Exf5 Cb4 27 辳a5 g6！0－I Lalic－Khalifman，Linares 1997
（9）I d4 ©ff $2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c} 5 \mathbf{3} \mathbf{d 5} \mathbf{b 5} 4 \mathrm{cxb5}$ a6 5 f3 e6 6 e4 exd5 7




 Wixc5 íxc5 20 ©c3 ©c7－Lalic－Alterman，Pula $199721 \mathrm{~g} 3!\pm \Delta$







 wivd +1 1－0 Lim Chuing Hoong－Wong Zi Jing，Penang 2000
（10）I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 e3 g6 6 Qc3 颫g7
 Estremera Panos－Vasilev，Cutro 2002

 12 \＆xb5 d5 13 0－0 ©bd7 14 b4！$\pm$ Hansen－Cramling，Reykjavik 1995； 8 ＠c4！？\＆b7 9 Qge2 e6 10 Qf4 axb5 II Qxb5 exd5 12 Qxd5 Qc6e Notkin－Khalifman，St Peterburg 1995 8．．．e6 8．．．\＆b7！？ 9 乌13 axb5 10 \＆xb5 e6 II dxe6 fxe6 12 霛d6 \＆xf3！ 13 gxi3 声c8 $140-0 \times 0$ Peturrson－Fedorowicz，Reykjavik 19909 Qß \＆b7 10 dxe6 fxe6 II Wivd6！II \＆e2？d5 12 bxa6 ©xa6 13 0－0
 $15 \Xi_{\text {xa8 }} \sum_{\text {xa8 }} 16$ 0－0 ©d7m Georgiev－Rogers，Biel 1993 12．．．cxb4 13 粠xb4 0d5！0－1 Danilov－Navrotescu，Baile Tusnad 2001



 12 e5 ©g 413 f4士 Babula－Stocek，Czech Republic 2000 9 0－0

（13）I d4 ©f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 b6 e6 6 ©c3 exd5



 d＇Agde 1996 12．．．\＆e7！ 13 \＆d2 断xb2！？13．．．数xf3 14 exイ3 全f6
 \＃ed8 +20 忠e3g5 Xe3 $\infty$ Crouch－Trent，Camberley 200114 \＆c3


㑒xb4 \＃xb4 22 e2 c3！0－I．Deiler－Degraeve，Metz 2000
（14）I d4 Qff 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 b6e6 6 ©c3 $4 x d 5$




 \＆c3（II De5 Qxe5 12 监xe5＋\＆e7 13 \＆c3 f6＝）De Souza－





 g3 d5 23 乌d2 ©d4 24 \＃ael c4 25 exd5 exd5 26 bxc4 dxc4



 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ Gleizerov－Tregubov，Krasnoyarsk 2003
（15）I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 b6 d6





 0－0 毋bd7 13 \＆g5 a4 14 䒼c2 Qb6 15 远 $17 \mathrm{f4} \pm$ Shabalov－Fedorowicz，Seattle 2003

 11 Qc40－0 12 Qt3 Qe8！？ 13 0－0 \＆c7 14 曹d3 Qb5 15 \＆d2 थd4
 Tukmakov－Polgar，Amsterdam 19907 e4 \＆gg7 8 a4 熿xb6 9 a5
 \＆b5 14 0－0 Qbd7m Campos Moreno－Topalov，Aviles 1992




 $25 \$ \mathrm{~g} 2$ 畨xd5＋0－1 Hillarp Persson－Conquest，Torshavn 2000
（16）I d4 ©f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6！5．．．$\& \times x$ 6？
 6 b3？！

 e6！ 12 它bc3 12 dxe6 fxe6 13 ゆd2 0 b4 个 $12 . . . e x d 513$ ©xd5

 Berg，Tallinn 1986
（17）I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 Qc3
 d6 II e5！dxe5 12 fxe5 Qg4 13 we2 9 ） 9 \＆d2 0－0 10 e4 d6oc







 Kasparov，Linares 1994
（18）I d4 Qf6 $2 \mathrm{c4} \mathrm{c} 53 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{b5} 4 \mathrm{cxb5}$ a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 Dc3

 Andruet，Toulouse 19909 et3 Qbd7 9．．．sg7 10 h 3 － 10 g 3 Qbd7 11 \＄g2 0－0 transposes－10．．．0－0 11 \＄g1 Wa6！？ 12 \＄h2軍b6 13 We2 ©b4 14 a4 e6！oo Hjartarson－Cramling，Nordic zt



 Fominyh，Elista 1995 12．．．巴a6！？12．．．©b6！？13 e5？！




 Hamburg 2000
（19）I d4 ©f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 Qc3




13 需c2 曹a8 14 a4！？تb8 15 b3 e6？（ 15 ．．．Qe8！？） 16 dxe6 fxe6 17

 Stamenkov，Nova Gorica 2004 13．．．Wia8 14 \＆g5 Qb6！？ 15





 Malakhatko，Kiev 2001
（20）I d4 Q16 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 Qc3




16 dxe6 fxe6 17 wiv2 17 \＄gl！？d5？（17．．．g5！$\Delta 18$ e5？乌d5！Xf3）



 21 ジa3 d5 22 exd5 exd5 23 \＄g1 d4 24 ゆb5 ©d5 25 h4 g4 26 Qh2 h5 27 b3 $\begin{aligned} & \text { wil } \\ & \text { g } 600\end{aligned}$ Korchnoi－Cramling，Marbella 1999.



 Vuckovic，Valjevo 2000
（2I）I d4 ©f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 Dc3


 21 Eab2 Ïb6 $22 \Xi_{x b 6}$ 断 $\times b 600 / \pm$ M．Gurevich－De Vreugt，Wijk aan Zee 2001


 c4！$\infty$ Van der Sterren－Topalov，Wijk aan Zee 1998 13．．． $\mathbf{m b} \mathbf{f b} 14$
 17．．．De8！ 18 玉e2 乌d6m Beliavsky－Leko，Cacak 1996 14．．．De8
 f5！ 1913 Oa4 20 Qdio 17．．．2a4！ 18 Ulixa6 Exa6 19 Ege2








 Ea5 0－I Beliavsky－Khalifman，Linares 1995
（22）I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 Qc3





 Cesenatico 2000 10．．．0－0 11 0－0 De8


12 Ulc2 12 घel！？』c4（12．．．©c7 13 e4 Qb5 14 Qe2！$\pm$ Avrukh－ Hendriks，Wijk aan Zee 2000） 13 a3！（13 ©d2？\＆xa2 14 Qxa2 Exa2 $\mp$ Rowson－Vuckovic，Gibraltar 2004）13．．．Ec7 14 e4 ■b8 15


 Novi Sad 200028 f5！？个 12．．．Oc7 13 Edl Eb6 14 e4 Db5 15



（23）I d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 Qc3

 \＆b2 Piket－Topalov，Wijk aan Zee 1999 15．．．c4！？$\infty$ ）13．．．Dbd7 16
 Epishin－Schuurman Creon 2002.




Malakhatko－Rakhmangulov，Alushta 2001；13．．．Qg4 14 a4 Ege5 15 Qxe5 \＆xe5 16 b3 $\ddagger$ Kramnik－Van Wely，Monte Carlo 2003． 14   22 Eecl f6 23 新e4士 Kramnik－Topalov，Wijk aan Zee 2003

## Definitions of Symbols

| \＃ | mate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $+$ | check |
| ＋＋ | double check |
| $\pm$ | slight advantage for White |
| $\overline{7}$ | slight advantage for Black |
| $\pm$ | clear advantage for White |
| $\mp$ | clear advantage for Black |
| ＋－ | decisive advantage for White |
| －＋ | decisive advantage for Black |
| $=$ | equal game |
| $!$ | good move |
| ！！ | excellent move |
| ！？ | move deserving attention |
| ？！ | dubious move |
| ？ | weak move |
| n | blunder |
| $\overline{\bar{\infty}}$ | with compensation |
| $\times$ | with an attack against．．． |
| $\Delta$ | with the idea of ．．． |
| 0 | better is ．．． |
| 邓 | with counterplay |
| $\square$ | only move |
| $\uparrow$ | with initiative |
| $\infty$ | unclear |
| Ch | Championship |
| corr | correspondence game |
| ol | Olympiad |
| zt | Zonal Tournament |
| izt | Interzonal Tournament |

## Before the Fight

I hope this book has whetted your appetite for the Benko Gambit and that you are feeling anxious to play it at the first opportunity．Remember you will also need a defence prepared against I d4 Df6 2 c 4 c 53 乌f3．Perhaps you will choose the sharp but slighty risky $3 \ldots c x d 44$ Qxd4 e5！？as played by Topalov and the young Kasparov．Alternatively，you might settle for a bishop fianchetto on g 7 as the closest thing in spirit to the Benko：3．．．cxd4 4 包xd4g65 ©c3 §g7 and here you have to be ready for both the Maroczy bind with 6 e4 and the English mainline after say 6 g 3 Dc6 7 』g2 $0 x d 48$ 曹xd4 d6 90－0 0－0． Anyone capable of mastering the Benko is bound to have the necessary flair and skill to handle either of these set ups without too much trouble－but do learn a little theory．

At first you should be satisfied with a basic repertoire in the Benko，with only one answer to any of White＇s schemes；but after you have gained some experience you should aim to have at least two different replies to each set up，not only for the sake of variety but also to prevent your opponent doing very deep preparation against you．

When you play the Benko you set White the astonishingly difficult task of exploiting the extra pawn：it is so difficult in fact as to be virtually impossible，and for this reason many players hand back the pawn as quickly as possible in return for a positional，rather than a material，plus．You will need patience， endurance and fighting spirit no matter what form the game takes．It is a great consolation to know that Black is acting from a sound positional base－the endgame is normally good for him
and the onus is therefore on White to 'do something'. This is too much pressure for most players, even Grandmasters, and the thing they 'do' is often horrendous.

Well it's goodbye now. Let me wish you happy hunting with the Benko in your tournaments and matches!
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